Blood-based biomarkers in lung cancer: prognosis and treatment decisions
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Abstract: Despite recent advances, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) remains a devastating disease with overall poor prognosis. Major contributing factors include obstacles to diagnosing the disease early in its course during the asymptomatic stage as well as diversity and complexity of its biology underlying tumorigenesis and tumor progression. Advances in molecularly targeted therapies which drives the development of personalized cancer care require precise and comprehensive understanding of tumor biology, not only at the time of diagnosis but also during treatment course and surveillance. As lung tumor tissue can be difficult to obtain without invasive and potentially risky procedures, it is difficult to monitor treatment response with serial tissue biopsies. Development of non-invasive but reliable blood based tumor markers has become an important research area. In this review, we focus on the following circulating biomarkers that have been identified in recent years: circulating tumor cells (CTCs); circulating cell-free nucleic acids, such as circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) and microRNA (miR); and other biomarkers such as genomic and proteomic features. These biomarkers not only have prognostic values, but also can help guide treatment decisions by monitoring tumor burden, detecting minimal residual disease and/or recurrent disease, as well as monitoring evolution of genetic alterations throughout the treatment course.
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Introduction

Outcomes and response to therapy of lung cancers can be highly variable. Tumor-tissue derived diagnosis has been the gold standard; and biomarkers derived from tumor tissue have been the main focus in establishing prognostic and predictive markers in lung cancer. However, reliance on tumor tissue has obvious shortcomings as it does not allow for serial sampling of tumor over the treatment course, nor does it allow for multiple biopsy attempts to assess intra-tumor heterogeneity. Therefore, the identification of novel biomarkers from blood to differentiate tumor from normal tissue, and to predict tumor behavior such as pathologic stage, response to chemotherapy or radiotherapy, and pattern of relapse, is of great importance in clinical practice. Blood-based biomarkers could capture the molecular diversity of the disease, while the ease of serial testing facilitates the monitoring of its spatial and temporal progression.
Circulating tumor cells (CTCs)

CTCs are shed from the primary tumor into the circulation and are considered to contribute to cancer progression (1). Tumor-derived cells identified in peripheral blood can be used for diagnosis of original cancer or detection of recurrent cancer (“liquid biopsy”) (2). This topic will be covered by a separate review and will not be elaborated upon here.

To date, multiple techniques have been developed for the isolation, characterization, and enumeration of CTCs. These methods present great variability in CTC detection rates, sensitivity, and specificity (3). Commonly, CTC assays utilize an initial enrichment step with epithelial markers to deplete blood-derived cell populations and a detection step to identify CTCs. However, the rarity of CTCs, the lack of a consensus definition on biomarker expression in CTCs, and the significant inter- and intra-patient heterogeneity of these cells, represent significant challenges in CTC detection. To date, the CellSearch (Veridex) system is currently the only US FDA approved technology for this purpose (4-6). CellSearch (Veridex) employs an epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM)-based enrichment step and identifies CTCs as intact enucleated cells staining positive for cytokeratins and negative for the leucocyte marker CD45 (7).

The presence of CTCs has been associated with poor outcomes in advanced stage cancer patients (8-10). With the use of the CellSearch system, CTC number was a strong predictor of overall survival (OS) in a cohort of 101 patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (11). Baseline CTC counts of over 5/7.5 mL were associated with shorter progression free survival (PFS) and OS in patients with NSCLC (11). The prognostic role of CTC detection has also been shown in surgically resected NSCLC (10). Furthermore, the prognostic significance of CTCs in lung cancer was demonstrated in a meta-analysis of 20 trials comprising 1,576 NSCLC patients, which revealed a significant association between the presence of CTCs and decreased disease-free survival (DFS) and decreased OS (12). Despite these results, the use of CTCs as a prognostic biomarker in NSCLC has not been routinely utilized clinically.

Circulating (cell-free) tumor DNA (ctDNA)

Lung cancer cells release DNA fragments into the circulation, and these fragments can be found in the cell-free fraction of blood together with DNA fragments from normal cells (ctDNA). It has been speculated that ctDNA is released passively into the bloodstream from dead/dying tumor cells, a process related to the tumor burden, tumor growth, and anti-tumor therapy. While the presence of ctDNA has long been recognized, techniques that are sensitive and specific enough to detect have only become available recently. These techniques include BEAMing (beads, emulsion, amplification, magnetics) technology and CAPP-seq (cancer personalized profiling by deep sequencing) among others.

The ctDNA levels have been consistently shown to be higher in NSCLC patients compared with healthy control subjects (13,14). A large body of evidence has demonstrated the prognostic significance of ctDNA levels. It has been shown that higher pretreatment ctDNA levels were associated with worse OS in patients who were treated with first-line platinum doublet chemotherapy (16.8 vs. 22.4 months) (15). In another report, increased plasma ctDNA levels were correlated with advanced tumor stage, tumor progression after chemotherapy as well as poor survival (16,17).

A recent report utilized CAPP-Seq ctDNA analysis to study resistance mechanisms in 43 lung cancer patients treated with the third-generation EGFR inhibitor rociletinib (18). Multiple resistance mechanisms have been recognized in 46% of patients after treatment with first-line inhibitors, indicating frequent intra-patient heterogeneity. In a separate report, ctDNA was detected in 100% of patients with stage II–IV NSCLC and in 50% of patients with stage I disease, indicating its prognostic value and the specificity was 96% (19). Furthermore, levels of ctDNA were highly correlated with tumor volume and distinguished between residual disease and treatment-related imaging changes. This suggests that the measurement of ctDNA levels would allow for earlier response assessment than radiographic approaches.

Due to the ease of serial sample collections from patients during cancer surveillance or treatment, and the development of robust technology to detect driver mutations from small amount of ctDNA, ctDNA analysis has become very useful in lung cancer monitoring and guiding treatment decisions. For example, a patient who had been treated with erlotinib for a lung cancer with EGFR exon 19 deletion, could later develop a mutation in the EGFR gene which confers resistance to Erlotinib. Obtaining blood samples at the time of tumor recurrence or progression followed by EGFR mutation analysis, would help the clinicians to decide if the tumor has acquired a mutation that led to resistance to a first-generation EGFR.
inhibitor. Other functions of ctDNA include monitoring minimal residual disease as well as possible tumor recurrence (Figure 1) (10).

**MicroRNAs (miRs)**

miRs are small noncoding single stranded RNAs with a length of 19 to 22 nucleotides. They function by silencing mRNA, thus negatively regulating gene expression at the post transcriptional level (20). The role of miR expression in tumor samples has been widely reported, but data on the prognostic value of circulating miR has been scarce.

A serum miR signature of miR-486, miR-30d, miR-1, and miR-499 has been shown to be an independent predictor of survival in NSCLC patients (21). In a separate report, high miR-125b serum expression was shown to be an independent predictor of poor survival in an analysis of 193 NSCLC patients of various stages (22). A signature of 5 miR gene set has recently been identified by Silva et al. as a potential prognostic marker, and was validated in a separate cohort of 78 NSCLC patients and 48 control participants. In the same study, the levels of let-7f and miR-30e-3p have been shown to correlate with poor outcomes (23).

Exosomes are membrane-enclosed extracellular vesicles (EVs) with a diameter of 30–100 nm (24) that are derived from endosomes (25). All cell types can secrete exosomes and the formation and release of exosomes are highly regulated. Cancer cells are known to secrete more exosomes. Exosomes are stable and can be isolated from diverse body fluids, including plasma, serum and urine. Moreover, RNA, including miRs are much more stable when isolated and stored together with exosomes, providing higher yield. In a recent study (26), with a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) array panel, 84 plasma exosomal miR samples were analyzed from 10 patients with NSCLC and 10 healthy controls. Elevated levels of exosomal miR-23b-3p, miR-10b-5p and miR-21-5p were independently associated with poor OS [with hazard ratio of 2.42 (1.45–4.04), P=0.001; 2.22 (1.18–4.16), P=0.013; 2.12 (1.28–3.49), P=0.003, respectively]. When compared to a model derived from clinical prognostic variables, adding the three exosomal miRNA signatures significantly improved survival predictive accuracy with an increase of time-dependent area under the receiver operating characteristic curve from 0.88 to 0.91 (P=0.015) (26).

miRs are useful not only as diagnostic biomarkers but also as potential prognostic and predictive markers. For example, increased miR-21 leads to *in vitro* platinum resistance whereas the expression of miR-21 in tumor tissue and plasma was associated with DFS after adjuvant
platinum-based chemotherapy (27). Upregulation of circulating miR-22 levels was found to predict resistance to pemetrexed in patients with advanced NSCLC (28).

**Other blood-based biomarkers**

Many attempts have been made to exploit serum or plasma biomarkers, including proteomic or genomic features, in order to identify prognostic and/or predictive classifiers for lung cancer patients. For example, VeriStrat®, a serum or plasma-based test which was developed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometry, has been shown to be prognostic for both OS and PFS, independent of clinical features. The test is highly predictive of objective response to erlotinib (29) or combination TKI treatment (30). The VeriStrat algorithm has been interrogated retrospectively and prospectively in samples from several randomized trials, such as BR21, confirming the prognostic information associated with the molecular signature.

Numerous other serum/plasma based tests including DNA methylation signatures in circulating DNA (31), and serum autoantibodies against tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) (32), have shown some promise as potential early detection tools or prognostic indicators. However, as these tests generally lack specificity, more robust biomarkers have been identified and incorporated gradually into clinical practice (such as liquid biopsy tests).

**Conclusions**

Circulating tumor markers can be useful tools for predicting outcomes and treatment response in lung cancer patients. Some have already entered routine clinical practice. The integration of prospective biomarker analysis and imaging studies in randomized clinical trials will make it possible to validate the utility of blood-based tumor biomarkers in lung cancer diagnosis, prognosis and research. Successes in this field of endeavor will presumably encourage future efforts to identify and validate new biomarkers.
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