Hsp90 inhibitors enhance the antitumoral effect of osimertinib in parental and osimertinib-resistant non-small cell lung cancer cell lines
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Background: Osimertinib improve therapy for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, invariable acquired resistance appears.

Methods: MTT assay was used to analyze cell viability. Protein expression and activation was detected by Western blotting. In addition, the effects of heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) inhibitors and osimertinib were studied in colony formation assays.

Results: Our laboratory generated osimertinib resistant cell lines from PC9 cell line and overexpression or activation of several proteins was detected. Hsp90 inhibitors, ganetespib and luminespib, inhibited cell viability and colony formation in H1975, PC9 and PC9-derived osimertinib-resistant cell lines and combination of these inhibitors with osimertinib achieved to enhance this cell viability and colony formation inhibition. Luminespib downregulated the expression of the several proteins involved in osimertinib-resistance and the combination of this compound plus osimertinib caused an important decrease of expression of several of these proteins, such as Stat3, Yap, Akt, EGFR and Met. Osimertinib activated the phosphorylation of several membrane receptors and downstream molecules that was partially inhibited by luminespib. In addition, a lung cancer patient with an EGFR eon 20 mutation had a partial radiographic response to ganetespib.

Conclusions: Hsp90 inhibitors and osimertinib exhibits a good efficiency to inhibit cell viability, colony formation and inhibits expression and activation of proteins involved in osimertinib-resistance and may represent an effective strategy for NSCLC with intrinsic resistance to osimertinib inhibition.
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Introduction

Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) are one of the most important treatments in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) EGFR mutated patients. Osimertinib (also named AZD9291 or Tagrisso) is one EGFR-TKI that has been approved by the Food Drug Administration (FDA) for treating patients with EGFR T790 mutant NSCLC with disease progression on or after EGFR TKI progression. Although first-generation EGFR TKIs provide significant clinical benefit, acquired resistance often occurs, most commonly via a T790M resistance mutation. Osimertinib is selective for both T790M and activating EGFR mutations over wild-type EGFR, but it is highly active when T790M is present, especially EGFR(L858R/T790M), and modestly active when T790M is absent (1,2). Despite good initial response, resistance to osimertinib appears (3-6). The mechanisms of resistance could be divided into two groups: EGFR dependent and EGFR independent. In the first group, C797S mutation in EGFR exon 20 is the main cause of resistance. In addition, T790 mutation reduction or disappearance, EGFR amplification and high EGF levels are involved in resistance to osimertinib. EGFR-independent mechanisms that cause osimertinib-resistance have been described, such as, HER2 (7) or Met amplification, PIK3CA, NRas or BRAF mutations, PTEN loss, activation of RAS-MAPK or IGF-1R pathways, as well as the FGF-FGFR1 autocrine loop and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (8).

An important therapeutic strategy for osimertinib-resistant NSCLC due to EGFR-dependent resistance mechanisms, is the use of anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies, such as, cetuximab or panitumumab, alone, or in combination with other drugs, like, EA1045 or Brigatinib (6). When EGFR-independent resistance mechanisms are evident, the therapeutic strategies are to combine osimertinib plus crizotinib or inhibitors of MET, MEK, BRAF, PI3K, S6K, FAK or AXL (6). In addition, osimertinib resistant patients with SCLC transformation were treated with platinum-based doublet chemotherapy (9). It has also been described that the PD-L1 expression was increased in osimertinib-resistant NSCLC (10) and it has been postulated that PS-L1/PD-1 antibodies might be a new promising treatment for osimertinib-resistant patients with high PD-L1 expression (6).

Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) activity (12) and, for this reason, tumors tend to be more sensitive to Hsp90 inhibition (13). Hsp90 plays a crucial role in maintaining cellular protein homeostasis because it regulates protein maturation, folding and stability, and plays a critical role in differentiation, cell growth and survival (14). Thus, Hsp90 could be an attractive therapeutic target and several clinical trials are being opened or are in progress with Hsp90 inhibitors alone or in combination with other drugs (15).

Hsp90 inhibitors induce the proteosomal degradation of Hsp90 client proteins such as EGFR, HER2, IGF-1R, Stat3, Akt, Raf-1, IKK, v-Kit, c-Src, NPM-ALK, p53, HIF-1 and CD4/6 (16,17). In addition, Akt-activated tumors are sensitive to Hsp90 inhibitors (18) and indirectly downregulate Mcl1 expression by inhibiting Akt and Erk1/2 signaling (19).

Hsp90 inhibitors, such as Ganetespib and Luminespib, have been assayed in clinical trials in several tumor types, such as NSCLC (20,21), colon (22), breast (23), prostate (24,25), myeloma (26,27) and melanoma (28). It has been demonstrated that they overcome resistance to EGFR-kinase inhibitors (18).

Unfortunately, these inhibitors showed limited efficacy as a single agent, however, they are still in various stages of clinical trials as a component for combination therapies (16,29). Luminespib used as a single agent in a phase II study in lung cancer patients showed poor effectiveness (16,29).

Recently, the results have been published of a phase II study with Luminespib in pretreated patients with stage IV NSCLC. This compound is active in these patients, particularly among patients with ALK rearrangements and EGFR mutations (30). In addition, EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations display sensitivity to Hsp90 inhibition in lung adenocarcinomas and cellular models (31,32).

In our study we investigate whether the Hsp90 inhibitors, luminespib and ganetespib, could overcome osimertinib-resistance in EGFR-mutated NSCLC cell lines.

Methods

Cell lines

Human lung adenocarcinoma PC-9 cells, harboring EGFR exon 19 deletion (E746-A750) were provided by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. with the authorization of Dr. Mayumi Ono (Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan). Human lung adenocarcinoma H1975 cells, harboring both
sensitizing L858R and resistant T790M mutation, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4 are osimertinib-resistant cells, the two lines were generated in our laboratory by exposing PC9 cells to increasing concentrations of the osimertinib (33). All cell lines were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 Medium supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin/glutamine (Gibco) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco) in 5% CO₂, 37 °C cell culture incubator and were routinely evaluated for mycoplasma contamination. Media and supplements were obtained from Life Technologies (Gaithersburg, MD, USA).

**Chemical and reagents**

Osimertinib (AZD9291), luminespib (AUY922) and ganetespib (STA9090) were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). Drugs were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 10–100 mmol/L stock solutions and stored at −20 °C. Further dilutions were made in culture medium to final concentration before use. The following antibodies used were from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA): EGFR (Cat#4267); phospho-EGFR (Tyr 1068) (Cat#3777); STAT3 (Cat#9139); phospho-STAT3 (Tyr705) (Cat#9145); AKT (Cat#9272); phospho-AKT (Ser473) (Cat#9271); ERK1/2 (Cat#9102); phospho-ERK 1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) (Cat#9101); Bmi1 (Cat#6964); HES1 (Cat#11988); IGF-1R (Cat#9750); phospho-IGF-1R (Tyr 136) (Cat#6113) EphA2 (Cat#6997); phospho-EphA2 (Ser 897) (Cat#6347); Met (Cat#8198); Axl (Cat#8661); Src (Cat#2109); phospho-Src (Tyr 416) (Cat#9102); Yap (Cat#12395); mTOR (Cat#2983); Bcl2 (Cat#15071); Bim (Cat#2819). phospho-Yap (Tyr 357) (Cat#ab62751), h3 (Cat#ab1791) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). phospho-h3 (Ser 10) (Cat#06-570) was purchased from Millipore and α-Tubulin from Sigma-Aldrich (Cat#T9026). Secondary antibodies horseradish linked peroxidase anti-rabbit (Cat#NA934), and horseradish linked peroxidase anti-mouse (Cat#NX931) antibodies were purchased from GE Healthcare.

**Cell viability assay**

The effects of different compounds on the cell viability of PC9, H1975, PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4 were studied by use of the colorimetric (MTT). Two thousand (PC9, H1975) or 4000 (PC9-GR4, PC9-OR4) cells were seeded in 100 µL 0.1% FBS media and incubated for 24 hours before treatment; 100 µL of various 2× drug concentration (1× final concentration) were added to the wells, and the cells were incubated for 72 hours.

Cell viability was assessed by the Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide (MTT) (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) assay. Cells from each cell line were seeded at 2,000 to 4,000 cells per well in 96-well plates, allowed to attach for 24 h and treated for 72 h (for details of the combination experiments, see below). After treatment, cells were incubated with medium containing MTT (0.75 mg/mL in medium) for 1–2 h at 37 °C. Culture medium with MTT was removed and formazan crystals reabsorbed in 100 µL DMSO (Sigma). Cell numbers were estimated by measuring the absorbance at 495 nm, using a microplate reader (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD, USA). The data reported represent the mean ± SD of a minimum of 3 experiments of each drug concentration tested.

**Western blotting**

For Western blot assays, cells were cultured in cell culture flasks and left untreated or treated as indicated in each experiment. Cells were lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH:7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% NP40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM beta-glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 µg/mL leupeptin, 1 mM PMSF]. After incubating for 20 min at 4 °C, the samples were centrifuged, and the supernatant was kept at −80 °C. Protein concentration was determined by bicinchoninic acid protein assay. Equal amounts of protein from each cell lysate (30 µg/lane) were subjected to SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS/PAGE) and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, New Bedford, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked in Tris-buffered saline containing 5% fat free dry milk and then probed with primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight. After washing, the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature. Specific proteins were visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA) and analyzed by Chemidoc MP Imaging System (Bio Rad). β-actin was used as an internal control to confirm equal gel loading.
Colony formation assay

PC9, H1975, PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4 cells were seeded at 200 cells per well in a 6-well plate and incubated overnight. The cells were treated with compounds, Osimertinib, Ganetespib or Luminespib, or DMSO as vehicle control. The culture media and compounds were changed every three days. On day 12 cell culture medium was removed and each well was washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Then the colonies were stained with 0.5% of violet crystal at room temperature for 15 min and distilled water was used to wash the plates several times until the dye stops coming off and allowed to dry overnight. Images were captured and the number of colonies in each well was counted using J software.

Patient and case report

The clinical and radiographic data for this study was obtained from the medical reports of a patient treated in our institution. Patient signed and IRB-validated informed consent authorizing the anonymized use of her medical images for publication purposes following institutional and regional regulations and the review of data was conducted in accordance with recognized ethical guidelines. The Institutional Review Board was the Ethical Committee of Drug Research of Quirónsalud Hospitality Group (Barcelona, Spain).

Results

Protein characterization of osimertinib-resistant cell lines

We established five osimertinib-resistant cell lines from the parental NSCLC cell line, PC9. This cell line was treated with increased concentrations of osimertinib, as described, thus generating the osimertinib-resistant cell lines. PC9 cells were treated with an increased concentration of osimertinib for 10 cycles and the 10th generation resistant cells were named PC9-OR1, PC9-OR2, PC9-OR3, PC9-OR4 and PC9-OR5 (PC9-ORs). The IC50 values for osimertinib of these cell lines are described in Figure 1.
of several proteins, such as, membrane receptors, protein receptor tyrosine kinase signaling, cancer stem cell markers, and proteins involved in apoptosis and cell cycle, to investigate whether the PC9-ORs cell lines influence protein expression and activation. The most important change when comparing the PC9 parental cell line with PC9-ORs was: in all five PC9-ORs cells AKT was activated, in three, Stat3 was activated, and in four, YAP was activated. There was overexpression of EMT marker AXL and IGF1R activation in two of them. There is also overexpression of antiapoptotic protein bcl-2 in three PC9-ORs (Figure 1).

We described that the CSC marker Hes1 is overexpressed in four PC9-ORs (34).

Hsp90 inhibitors potentiate the effects of osimertinib in cell viability and colony formation inhibition

It has previously been described that ganetespib exhibits cytotoxic activity against NSCLC lines with EGFR mutations and also showed activity against erlotinib-resistant NSCLC tumor phenotypes in vitro (35,36).

To determine the ganetespib and luminespib antitumoral activity in the context of EGFR-mutated cell lines (PC9, H1975) and osimertinib-resistant cell lines (PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4), cells were treated for 72 h with the Hsp90 inhibitors, ganetespib and luminespib, in a dose-dependent manner to determine if they inhibit cell viability in these cancer cell lines. As shown in Figure 2A, the cell viability of both resistant
and parental cell lines was similarly affected by each Hsp90 inhibitor. Ganetespib and luminespib caused a dose-dependent, cell viability decrease of 12.5–15 nM in both compounds.

To determine whether Hsp90 inhibitors could overcome intrinsic resistance to osimertinib and synergize with osimertinib in PC9-OR cells, we exposed PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4 cells to different doses of osimertinib plus ganetespib or luminespib. In these studies, the combination of luminespib plus osimertinib in both cell lines and ganetespib plus osimertinib in PC9-OR4 cell line clearly potentiated a decrease of cell viability (Figure 2).

Furthermore, colony formation assays indicate that PC9, H1975, PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4 cells incubated with osimertinib and luminespib, in a dose-dependent experiment, were sensitive to the combination, significantly reducing the number of colonies better than single luminespib treatment, showing a clear effect at 2 nM of luminespib plus osimertinib. Thus, combination treatment reduces the formation of new colonies greater than single luminespib or osimertinib strategy (Figure 3).

**Luminespib decreases expression and activation of proteins involved in osimertinib-resistance**

To assess the effect of luminespib in expression and activation of proteins related to osimertinib-resistance,
Western blotting analyses were conducted. In a dose-response experiment with PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4 cells exposed to increasing luminespib concentrations, it was observed that the Hsp90 inhibitor attenuated protein expression, in a dose-dependent manner, of membrane receptors EGFR, MET and AXL, that are protein clients of Hsp90 (16,17) and, in a lesser manner, the downstream molecules of signaling pathways related to membrane receptors, such as, AKT and Stat3, which are described as Hsp90 client proteins (16,17), and YAP (Figure 4). We described that the CSC marker Hes1 is overexpressed in four PC9-ORs (35).

Concomitant reduction has also been observed in the phosphorylation of the membrane receptors EGFR, MET, downstream signaling molecules Stat3, AKT, Erk1/2 YAP and Src (Figure 4).

There were no protein level changes of signaling molecules Src, Erk1/2, β-catenin at the doses of luminespib used (Figure 4).

In apoptotic related proteins, there are no changes in PARP cleavage in the PC9-OR2 cell line, but an increase of PARP cleaved protein was appreciated in the PC9-OR4 cell line. There is a decrease in bcl2 expression that is clearer in the PC9-OR4 cell line. There are no significant changes in caspase 8 expression (Figure 4). Finally, a slight protein expression decrease was observed in cell cycle related proteins, p14 in PC9-OR2 and p16 in PC9-OR4 (Figure 4).

**Luminespib sensitizes osimertinib-resistant cell lines to osimertinib**

As we have observed before that luminespib was able to decrease expression or activation of several proteins involved in osimertinib-resistance, we wanted to study the capacity of luminespib to potentiate the effect of osimertinib in osimertinib-resistant cell lines. Then, to determine whether luminespib can enhance the antitumor effect of osimertinib, we treated PC9-OR2 and PC9-OR4 cells with increasing doses of luminespib alone, or in combination with a constant dose of osimertinib (50 nM), for 24 h, and analyzed the effect of the luminespib plus osimertinib combination.

We assessed that the osimertinib and luminespib combination causes and important effect in protein expression of YAP and AKT in PC9-OR4 and bcl2 in PC9-OR2 and the CSC marker Bmi1 in PC9-OR4. There is a clear protein expression downregulation of p14 in PC9-OR2 and p16 in PC9-OR4 cell cycle markers (Figure 4).

Also, we have to note that osimertinib increases the phosphorylation of Stat3, AKT and Src in both cell lines and YAP in PC9-OR2, and luminespib was able to reverse this activation (Figure 4).

Taken together, our studies indicate that the dual treatment of Hsp90 inhibitors plus osimertinib partially overcomes osimertinib-resistance in these tumor cell lines.

**Clinical activity of ganetespib in one lung cancer patient harboring an exon 20 EGFR mutation**

A 29-year-old female, never smoker, was diagnosed with advanced NSCLC patient after presenting with cough, dyspnea and hemoptysis. Imaging studies revealed metastatic involvement of the mediastinal lymph nodes, multiple pleural implants and severe pleural effusion. A pleural biopsy allowed confirmatory diagnosis of metastatic lung adenocarcinoma and EGFR testing was performed detecting an exon 20 T790M mutation. No known EGFR sensitizing mutation was detected. Other actionable alterations were not routinely tested at our institution at that time.

Initial therapy with erlotinib was ineffective and resulted in symptomatic tumor progression within the first month of treatment. Patient was then enrolled in an afatinib phase 2 clinical trial but again, disease progression was the best response after 8 weeks of treatment. Three cytotoxic chemotherapy lines of treatment were subsequently administered (including platinum-gemcitabine, pemetrexed and paclitaxel-bevacizumab) with short-lived clinical benefit allowing 2 years of survival at the cost several chronic sequels due to cumulative toxicity. By April 2012 the disease had spread with new brain and bone metastases. At that point, patient started ganetespib treatment under a compassionate use program. The best response assessment showed brain and femur metastasis partial response (Figure 5) and the duration of the response was 8 months. Ganetespib tolerance was adequate with asthenia and mild diarrhea as only reported side effects (Figure 5).

**Discussion**

Osimertinib has been approved by FDA for treating patients with EGFR T790M mutant NSCLC with disease progression on or after EGFR TKI treatment, however, resistance to this drug emerged. The mechanisms of resistance could be EGFR dependent or EGFR independent, and several strategies to overcome
Figure 4 Combination of Hsp90 inhibitor luminespib with osimertinib downregulates expression and inhibits activation of proteins involved in osimertinib-resistance. PC9-OR4 (A) and PC9-OR2 (B) cell lines were incubated with the indicated increasing concentrations of luminespib alone or in combination with osimertinib (50 nM) for 24 h. Protein expression and activation were analyzed by Western blotting. α-tubulin was used as protein housekeeping. The experiments were done twice.
osimertinib-resistance have been assayed.

Many of the proteins involved in mutant EGFR proteins are particularly reliant on the chaperone activity on Hsp90 for their conformational stability and function (18,37). For this reason, the use of Hsp90 inhibitors that leads to the degradation of mutant proteins involved in EGFR TKI resistance, has been assayed to treat EGFR mutant tumors, as well as WT EGFR tumors (38). However, the clinical results with Hsp90 inhibitors revealed a modest single-agent activity in WT or mutant EGFR NSCLC patients (39,40). As a result, Hsp90 inhibitors have been used in combination with other drugs. For example, ganetespib has shown potent chemoensitizing activity when combined with taxanes in NSCLC (20,41). Also, Hsp90 inhibitors have shown good efficiency in EGFR-TKI resistant cells (18). It has been described that the Hsp90 inhibitor luminespib was active in patients with NSCLC, particularly among patients with ALK rearrangements and EGFR mutations (33) KRAS-mutant cell lines with LKB loss showed enhanced sensitivity to Hsp90 inhibition (42,43).

Acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs is usually due to the development of a T790M mutation in EGFR exon 20. Luminespib and ganetespib showed antitumor activity against H1975, which harbors T790M and L858R mutations (8).

We have generated five osimertinib-resistant cell lines from the EGFR mutant NSCLC cell line, PC9 and analyzed the molecular profile of these cell models. Many of the proteins overexpressed or activated in these osimertinib-resistant cell lines are client proteins of Hsp90, and, for this reason, we hypothesize that the combination of Hsp90 inhibitors and osimertinib could be an adequate strategy to overcome osimertinib-resistance.

Our results showed synergistic effect of the combination

---

**Figure 5** Response of ganetespib in a non-small cell lung cancer patient harboring a 790M mutation in EGFR exon 20. Positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) scans disclosing fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) avid lesions and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) in a woman with non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR 790 mutation, before and after ganetespib therapy. Decrease in size lesions is noted in femur metastatic tumoral lesion (A) and brain metastatic tumoral lesion (B).
of osimertinib and the Hsp90 inhibitors, ganetespib and luminespib, on inhibiting the growth of lung cancer cells, and similar effects have been seen in colony-formation assays. Therefore, the combination of Hsp90 inhibitors with osimertinib could be a promising therapeutic strategy for osimertinib-resistant NSCLC patients. Hsp90 inhibition was seen to induce sensitivity to osimertinib in osimertinib-resistant cell lines. The concurrent treatment with luminespib and osimertinib resulted in a significantly improved antitumor response, indicating that dual treatment may provide a good strategy to overcome osimertinib-resistance. This should be evaluated further in clinical trials.

We then analyzed, by Western blotting technique, the molecular basis underlying the superior efficacy of the combinatorial strategy over single agent treatment, since Hsp90 inhibition could have a convergent impact, or parallel signaling pathways, to the EGFR pathway.

The Hsp90 inhibitor luminespib, decreases the protein expression of membrane receptors, such as EGFR, MET and AXL, and, in a lesser manner, the downstream molecules, YAP, Stat3, AKT, Src, Mcl1, the apoptosis marker Bim and cell cycle marker p14. This treatment, also decreases the phosphorylation of EGFR and MET, with concomitant effects on downstream pathways, including, Stat3, AKT, YAP, Src and Erk1/2.

The addition of luminespib to osimertinib treatment attenuated the expression of downstream signaling molecules, Stat3 and AKT, and the CSC marker, Bmi1. It also affects the activation of EGFR and Erk1/2. One remarkable effect is that luminespib decreases the activation caused by osimertinib of MET, Stat3, AKT and Src.

As an example of the potential clinical activity of Hsp90 inhibitors in EGFR mutant patients we described the evolution of a patient case that showed significant benefit from ganetespib monotherapy. At that moment, osimertinib was not available but based on our results the combined treatment would have been an excellent opportunity for our patient.

In conclusion, our results focused on the role of Hsp90 inhibition as a potential therapeutic option in combination with osimertinib against osimertinib-resistant NSCLC.
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