SRC and PIM1 as potential co-targets to overcome resistance in MET deregulated non-small cell lung cancer

Ilaria Attili1,2,3, Laura Bonanno4, Niki Karachaliou1*, Jillian Wilhelmina Paulina Bracht4, Jordi Berenguer1,5, Carles Codony-Servat1,6, Jordi Codony-Servat1, Erika Aldeguer1, Ana Gimenez-Capitan1, Alessandro Dal Maso1,4, Matteo Fassan1, Imane Chaib8, Miguel Angel Molina-Vila1, Antonio Passaro3, Filippo de Marinis3, Giulia Pasello4, Valentina Guarneri2,4, Pier Franco Conte2,4, Rafael Rosell1,9,10,11

1Pangaea Oncology, Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Coyote Research Group, Quirón-Dexeus University Institute, Barcelona, Spain; 2Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology, Università Degli Studi di Padova, Padova, Italy; 3Division of Thoracic Oncology, IEO, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy; 4Medical Oncology 2, Istituto Oncologico Veneto IOV-IRCCS, Padova, Italy; 5Cancer Stem Cells Metastasis Lab, Hospital del Mar, Medical Research Institute (MIM), Barcelona Biomedical Research Park (PRBB), Barcelona, Spain; 6Laboratori de Recerca Translacional-CReST-IDIBELL, Hospital de Llobregat, Spain; 7Surgical Pathology Unit, Department of Medicine (DIMEC), Università Degli Studi di Padova, Padova, Italy; 8Institut d’Investigació en Ciències Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain; 9Instituto Oncologico Dr Rosell (IOR), Quiron-Dexeus University Institute, Barcelona, Spain; 10Institut d’Investigació en Ciències Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain; 11Institut Català d’Oncologia, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: R Rosell, N Karachaliou, L Bonanno, I Attili; (II) Administrative support: N Karachaliou, R Rosell, L Bonanno, PF Conte, V Guarneri; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: I Attili, L Bonanno, PF Conte, V Guarneri, G Pasello, M Fassan; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: I Attili, A Dal Maso, JWP Bracht, J Berenguer, C Codony-Servat, J Codony-Servat, MA Molina-Vila, E Aldeguer, A Gimenez-Capitan, I Chaib; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: I Attili, L Bonanno, N Karachaliou, R Rosell, JWP Bracht, J Berenguer, C Codony-Servat; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Ilaria Attili, MD. Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology, Università Degli Studi di Padova, 35128 Padova, Italy. Email: ilaria.attili@iov.veneto.it.

Background: The role of MET alterations in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is increasing and several targeted agents are under evaluation. MET exon 14 skipping mutations and MET amplifications are associated with potential sensitivity to MET inhibition, though resistance mechanisms are emerging. In MET addicted cells, MET inhibition leads to activation of proviral integration site for Moloney murine leukemia virus-1 (PIM1). PIM1 and proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src (SRC) can regulate the expression of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), potentially inducing resistance to MET inhibition through cross-activation.

Methods: We evaluated the activity of class I–II MET inhibitors, the SRC inhibitor dasatinib, and pan-PIM inhibitors in four MET addicted cell lines. We assessed the effect of the dual MET/PIM and MET/SRC inhibition on cell viability and at the protein level. We evaluated RNA expression profiles of the cell lines. Advanced NSCLCs were also screened for MET alterations.

Results: All cell lines were sensitive to class I–II MET inhibitors. All cell lines were resistant to single PIM and SRC inhibition. Dual MET/PIM inhibition was synergistic or additive in MET amplified cell lines and dual MET/SRC inhibition was highly synergistic in all MET addicted cell lines. The addition of an SRC inhibitor partially prevents the RTKs cross-activation. MET alterations were found in 9 out of 97 evaluable samples (9.3%); median overall survival in MET altered patients was 5 months (95% CI, 3 m–NA).

Conclusions: We identified a potential role of PIM inhibition in MET amplified tumors and of SRC inhibition in MET addicted tumors. Potential applications of this new treatment strategy warrant further evaluation.

*Current affiliation: Global Clinical Development, Merck Healthcare KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany
**Introduction**

The hepatocyte growth factor receptor (MET) is a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase, identified as dysregulated in lung cancer in the 1990s (1). Firstly studied as mechanisms of innate or acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in EGFR mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), MET alterations have also been identified as de novo oncogenic drivers in NSCLC, representing a potential new therapeutic target (2-4).

In lung cancers the MET receptor can be altered mostly as a result of gene amplification or gene mutation. MET amplification may result in protein overexpression and constitutive kinase activation and is present in about 4% of non-small cell lung cancer (5,6). Nevertheless, different scoring systems have been used to define amplification by using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and the real role of MET amplification as a driver is still debated (6,7). Pathogenic MET gene mutations usually occur in the juxtamembrane domain and determine aberrant splicing of exon 14, leading to the production of a protein lacking the Y1003 c-Cbl binding site and consequent decreased ubiquitination and protein degradation (5,8). This kind of alteration is found in about 2.7% of NSCLC, up to 8% in adenocarcinoma and 22% in sarcomatoid histology (9,10). Recently, an intronic deletion involving the MET extracellular domain has been identified in high grade gliomas, determining the loss of exons 7 and 8 and the fusion of Immunoglobulin-plexin-transcription 1-2 (IPT1-2), resulting in defective furin cleavage, intracellular retention, and auto-activation of the uncleaved preform (11). No data on the prevalence and role of this alteration in NSCLC are available.

The first drugs used to inhibit MET were multi-target inhibitors, such as, crizotinib and cabozantinib (7,12-16). More selective MET inhibitors, tepotinib, savolitinib and capmatinib, look more promising: they demonstrated activity in MET exon 14 mutated NSCLC and are currently under clinical evaluation in a molecularly selected population (17-19). Very recently, capmatinib received approval from Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of MET exon 14 mutated NSCLC. Among the antibody compounds triggering MET, data recently presented on safety and efficacy of Sym015, show promising activity of this novel drug (20). Unfortunately, virtually all patients develop resistance to these drugs and the acquired resistance mechanisms have not yet been studied in depth.

Proviral integration site for Moloney murine leukemia virus (PIM) is a serine/threonine kinase, involved in cell cycle progression, cell growth, cell survival and treatment resistance. In a MET amplified NSCLC cell line, treatment with a MET inhibitor led to upregulation of PIM-1 as a mechanism of resistance, while treatment with PIM inhibitors restored sensitivity to MET inhibition in the MET inhibitor-resistant cell line (21). Moreover, in a prostate cancer model, PIM-1 signaling was associated with resistance to AKT inhibitors through the upregulation of MET and other receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) (22). Therefore, dual PIM-1 and MET inhibition might prevent the occurrence of resistance mechanisms in MET dependent cell lines.

Src homology 2-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase 2 (SHP2), a non-receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase, is central in RTK signaling and in Src activation. We have previously shown that the overexpression of RTKs, like AXL and the transmembrane protein CUB domain-containing protein-1 (CDCP1), as well as Src activation, are mechanisms of intrinsic resistance to EGFR inhibition in EGFR mutant lung cancer (23). Also, in MET amplified EGFR mutant lung cancer cell lines resistant to EGFR TKIs, Src expression was increased, compared to EGFR TKI sensitive counterpart. In this model, Src inhibition led to cell growth arrest and apoptosis (24).

The present study aims to test the role of PIM and Src in resistance to MET inhiabition in MET addicted tumors, and the potential activity of combination treatments in this context.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-20-681).
Methods

Chemical and reagents

Tepotinib, AZD1208 and dasatinib were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, U.S.). Savolitinib was purchased from Med Chem Express (Monmouth Junction, NJ, U.S.). PIM447 (LGH447), crizotinib and cabozantinib were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, U.S.). Drugs were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 10–100 mM stock solutions and stored at −20 °C. Further dilutions were made in culture medium to final concentration before use. Primary antibodies and secondary antibodies used are in Table S1.

Cell viability assay

The EBC-1 human squamous lung cancer cell line with MET amplification, the Hs746T human gastric cancer cell line with MET exon 14 skipping mutation, and the H1993 human lung adenocarcinoma cell line harboring MET amplification were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The E98 human glioblastoma cell line with MET exon 7–8 skipping variant (11) was provided by Dr William Leenders, from the Department of Biochemistry, Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. Cells were seeded on 96-well plates at the following densities: 5×10^3, 8×10^3, 8×10^3, 16×10^3 cells/well, respectively EBC-1, H1993, Hs746T, E98, and incubated for 24 h, as previously described (25). Cells were treated with serial dilutions of the drugs administrated at indicated doses. After 72 h of incubation, 0.5 mg/mL of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, U.S.) was added to the medium in the wells for 2 h at 37 °C and formazan crystals in viable cells were solubilized with 100 μL DMSO and spectrophotometrically quantified using a microplate reader (Infinite 200 PRO, Tecan Group Ltd, Mannedorf, CH) at 565 nm of absorbance. Fractional survival was then calculated as percentage to control cells. High or slight synergism was further identified within values <1, according to previous works (23,25).

Western blot analyses

Cells were washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and re-suspended in ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer containing protease inhibitor mixture (RIPA buffer, Cell Signaling Technology, Leiden, NL) as previously described (23). Centrifugation at 18,620 xg for 15 min at 4 °C was used to obtain cell lysis and the resulting supernatant was collected as the total cell lysate. Briefly, the lysates containing 30 μg proteins were electrophoresed on 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, U.S.) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, U.S.). Membranes were blocked in Odyssey blocking buffer (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, U.S.) and phosphoBLOCKER blocking reagent (Cell Biolabs INC., San Diego, CA, U.S.), according to manufacturer instructions, to identify non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated proteins, respectively. All target proteins were immunoblotted with appropriate primary and horseradish peroxidase (HPR)- conjugated secondary antibodies. Chemiluminescent (HRP-conjugated) bands were detected in a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad laboratories Inc.). β-actin was used as an internal control to confirm equal gel loading.

Patients

Pretreatment tumor specimens from advanced NSCLC patients with squamous cell carcinoma, sarcomatoid histology, and EGFR mutant adenocarcinoma, consecutively treated at Istituto Oncologico Veneto (Italy) from 2012 to 2016, were retrospectively collected. Inclusion criteria were the availability of histological specimen collected before starting any systemic treatment, and the availability of complete clinical and radiological information and adequate follow-up. The clinical data was assessed in accordance with the protocol approved by the institutional review board of Istituto Oncologico Veneto (IOV; protocol number MET-2017; date of approval: 11th December 2017) and de-identified for patient confidentiality. The study was conducted in accordance with the precepts of the Helsinki declaration (as revised in 2013). Signed informed consent, approved by the Ethics Committee of IOV, was obtained.
for collection, analysis and publication of data, according to the Italian data protection authority dispositions.

**FISH and immunobistochemistry (IHC)**

FISH for MET was performed with the ZytoLight® SPEC MET/CEP7 Dual Color Probe (ZytoVision, Bremerhaven, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions. At least 50 tumor cells per sample were counted. Three positivity criteria for MET amplification were used, namely a MET/CEP7 ratio ≥2; average ≥6 MET copies per tumor cell; and ≥5 MET copies in >50% of tumor cells or ≥15 copies in >10% tumor cells.

Immunostaining was performed with MET SP44 clone (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) on a BenchMark ULTRA automated tissue staining system (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, Arizona, USA). MET membrane staining was graded as: 0, absent; 1+, weak/faint; 2+ moderate and 3+, strong. A minimum of 50 tumor cells were evaluated per sample and the positivity criterion was 3+ in ≥50% of tumor cells.

**Real-time PCR analyses**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor blocks and slides were obtained by standard procedures. The percentage of tumor cell infiltration, stroma, necrosis and inflammatory cell infiltration was evaluated by a pathologist. For tumor infiltration rate <85%, the selected tumor area was captured by laser microdissection (Zeiss-Palm, Oberlensheim, Germany); otherwise, manual macrodissection was performed. RNA was isolated from the tumor tissue specimens in accordance with a proprietary procedure (European patent number EP1945764-B1).

Samples and cell lines were lysed in a tris, sodium chloride, ethylenediaminetetraacetic (EDTA), SDS and proteinase K containing buffer. RNA was then extracted with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol, followed by precipitation with isopropanol in the presence of glycogen and sodium acetate. RNA was re-suspended in water and treated with DNase I to avoid DNA contamination. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using M-MLV retrotranscriptase enzyme.

Template cDNA was added to Taqman Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in a 12.5 µL reaction with specific primers and probes for each gene under analysis. Quantification of gene expression was performed using the ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) and was calculated according to the comparative Ct method as previously described (Karachaliou et al., EBioMed 2018). Commercial RNAs from lung and liver were used as internal controls (Liver and Lung; Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). In all quantitative experiments, a sample was considered not evaluable when the standard deviation of the Ct values was >0.30 in two independent analyses. As a result, the number of evaluable samples varied among the several genes analysed.

A tissue-based RT-PCR assay was also used for the detection of MET exon 14 and 7–8 skipping mutations in tumor samples. RNA was converted to cDNA using M-MLV retrotranscriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) and oligo-dT primers, and METΔex14 was amplified using HotStart Taq polymerase (Qiagen) in a 20 µL reaction and visualized in agarose gels. Primers used were located in exons 13 and 15, sequences were: forward (exon 13) 5'-TTTTTCTCTGTGGCCTGAAAAAGA-3' and reverse (exon 15) 5'-GGGGACATGTCTGTCAGAGG-3'. Amplification generated a 246bp band for wild type (wt) MET RNA and a 106-bp band for METΔex14. Positive samples were confirmed by bidirectional Sanger sequencing of RT-PCR products, using the big-dye 3.1 sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems).

**Next generation sequencing**

MET copy number gain was also explored in tumor samples by next generation sequencing (NGS), and the results were correlated with FISH MET. NGS was performed with the GeneRead® QIAact Lung DNA UMI Panel (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The panel targets genes frequently altered in lung cancer tumors and can also detect amplifications in MET and other genes.

For the GeneRead panel, up to 40 ng of purified DNA were used as a template. Clonal amplification was performed on 625 pg of pooled libraries and, following bead enrichment, the GeneReader instrument was used for sequencing. Qiagen Clinical Insight Analyze (QCI-A) software was employed to align the read data and call sequence variants, which were imported into the Qiagen Clinical Insight Interpet (QCI-I) web interface for data interpretation and generation of final report.

**Statistical analyses**

The preclinical data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 7.0 statistical software. Variables were presented by using
median value for continuous variables and percentages (numbers) for categorical variables. The median overall survival (mOS) from diagnosis of advanced NSCLC was estimated by using Kaplan-Meier method and measures were provided with their 95% CI. The log-rank test was used to compare survival between groups. A two-sided 5% significance level was set for all tests. The statistical analyses were performed using R software.

Results

MET addicted cell lines are sensitive to different classes of MET-TKIs

In cell viability experiments, all of the adenocarcinoma cell lines tested, were sensitive to single MET inhibition with tepotinib, savolitinib, crizotinib and cabozantinib, with half maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC50s) in nM range, with the exception of MET amplified lung adenocarcinoma H1993 cell line, which was resistant to cabozantinib (Figure 1). The MET amplified lung squamous carcinoma cell line EBC-1 showed high sensitivity (low nM range) to all compounds tested. All cell lines were highly sensitive to the selective MET inhibitors, savolitinib and tepotinib, while only Hs746T cell line (MET exon 14 gastric adenocarcinoma) was very highly sensitive to cabozantinib. Crizotinib showed an intermediate sensitivity profile compared to the other compounds in E98 (MET exon 7–8 glioblastoma), EBC-1 and H1993 cell lines.

Single PIM inhibition or single SRC inhibition had no activity in MET addicted cell lines

All cell lines tested were resistant to the pan-PIM inhibitors AZD1208 and PIM447, displaying IC50s in µM range in cell viability experiments. Similar results were obtained when using the SRC inhibitor, dasatinib, alone in the MET altered cell lines (Table S2).
Co-treatment with MET and PIM inhibitors showed synergism in MET amplified lung squamous cell carcinoma cell line EBC-1

When combining the MET inhibitors with the PIM inhibitors in cell viability experiments, we obtained synergistic or additive effect in all the cell lines. The combination of AZD1208 with either, savolitinib, crizotinib or cabozantinib, was highly synergistic in the EBC-1 cell line, while the AZD1208 plus tepotinib combination was only slightly synergistic (Figure 2, Table S3). The tepotinib plus PIM447 combination was highly synergistic in the EBC-1 cell line (CoI 0.59), while it was slightly synergistic in the H1993 cell line (CoI 0.80) (Figure S1).

In the H1993, E98 and Hs746T cell lines, the combination of AZD1208 with the MET inhibitors was slightly synergistic, or showed additive effect (Figure 2, Table S3).

Co-treatment with MET and SRC inhibitors showed strong synergism in MET addicted cell lines

In cell viability experiments, the combination of dasatinib with savolitinib was highly synergistic in all cell lines (CoI 0.51, 0.62, 0.70, 0.77 in EBC-1, H1993, Hs746T and E98, respectively). Similar results were found with the dasatinib plus tepotinib combination, with the exception of the H1993 cell line showing only slight synergism (Figure 3). The dasatinib plus crizotinib or cabozantinib combinations showed synergism as well (Table S4).

Co-treatment with MET and SRC inhibitors prevents the tepotinib-driven activation of p-AXL, p-SHP2 and total CDCP1

To understand the potential mechanisms of resistance to single MET inhibition, we evaluated the effects of tepotinib alone and in combination with dasatinib in the EBC-1 cell line, by analyzing the expression of proteins potentially involved in resistance mechanisms using immunoblotting experiments. In vitro experiments showed that single MET inhibition with tepotinib led to upregulation of the membrane proteins, AXL and CDCP1, the intracellular
proteins, SRC, SHP2 and ERK, and an increase of their phosphorylated forms in the EBC-1 cell line (Figure S2). The addition of dasatinib could partially reverse these effects, causing decrease of activation of p-AXL, p-SHP2 and down-regulation of total CDCP1 (Figure 4).

RNA expression of genes potentially related to resistance to MET inhibition

Baseline RNA expression of MET, PIM, SRC, and other genes involved in resistance development pathways was explored in the four cell lines. EBC-1 and Hs746T cell lines showed higher baseline expression of PIM1, CDCP1, AXL, SHP2 and lower expression of SRC, compared to the H1993 and E98 (Figure S3).

MET alterations in advanced NSCLC samples

Clinical features of patients included in the analyses are summarized in Table 1. Among the 127 NSCLC patients collected with complete clinical information and tumor tissue available, 97 tumor samples were evaluable for MET screening. Overall, MET alterations were found in 9 out of 97 (9.3%) NSCLC samples. MET IHC was positive in five samples, FISH analyses confirmed MET amplification in 3 of them (3.1%). MET amplified patients were confirmed by NGS. MET exon 14 skipping variant was found by RT-PCR in five samples (5.2%): four out of 57 (7%) squamous cell carcinoma samples and one out of 15 (6.6%) sarcomatoid carcinoma histology. MET exon 7–8 was found in one patient (1%) with squamous cell carcinoma. None of the MET mutations were associated with MET positive IHC. No MET alterations were found in EGFR mutant adenocarcinoma samples. Median age at diagnosis was 71 years (63–87 years). Among the MET altered patients, three had metastatic disease at diagnosis. Six patients had resectable disease at
They were radically treated and received adjuvant treatment according to pathological TNM stage. All of them experienced disease relapse, median time to relapsing disease was 10 months (2–38 months). Median age at diagnosis of advanced NSCLC (aNSCLC) was 73 years (64–88 years).

None of the patients received specific MET TKI treatment. Only five out of 9 MET altered patients (55.6%) received at least one line of platinum-based systemic treatment for aNSCLC, only the patient with MET exon 7–8 mutation received further treatment lines (Table 1). The remaining three patients died before starting first line treatment. Median overall survival (mOS) in MET altered patients was 5 months (95% CI, 3 to NA) (Figure 5).

### Discussion

An increasing amount of data is available on the potential role of MET inhibitors in patients carrying exon.
14 mutations, and acquired resistance mechanisms are under evaluation (19). In addition, MET acquired amplification is clearly established as an acquired resistance mechanism in EGFR mutated NSCLC treated with EGFR TKI (4).

The present manuscript depicts in vitro analyses on resistance mechanisms to MET inhibition and the potential role of new combination treatment.

Through in vitro experiments, we found that single inhibition of MET with tepotinib activates AXL, CDCP1, SHP2, and SRC in MET addicted cells. The combination of tepotinib with the SRC inhibitor, dasatinib, could partially prevent the activation of p-AXL, CDCP1, and p-SHP2 in the EBC-1 cell line. Our findings demonstrate that single MET inhibition might not be enough in MET addicted cell lines, while the combination of a MET inhibitor with dasatinib, or with a PIM inhibitor, could potentially prevent, or delay, the occurrence of treatment resistance. The role of Src was previously investigated in the setting of EGFR mutated NSCLC. In cell lines and NSCLC models showing resistance to EGFR or ALK inhibitors, MET and Src coactivation was demonstrated (24,28). The hyperactivation of RTKs and non-receptor TKs (non-RTKs) has been widely demonstrated as a mechanism of resistance to EGFR TKIs in EGFR mutation positive lung cancer (23). In primary MET addicted models, few reports are available on resistance mechanisms to MET inhibitors. In addition to MET secondary resistance mutations (29-31), MAPK and PI3K signaling have been shown to mediate resistance to MET TKIs in in vitro and in vivo models of NSCLC with MET exon 14 skipping or MET amplification (32-35), and same mechanisms were confirmed in clinical samples as well (36). Until now, no evidence has been available on the possible role of AXL, CDCP1, and Src in MET TKI resistance in primary MET altered NSCLC. Although a PIM dependent resistance mechanism to MET TKIs was described in MET amplified NSCLC models (21,34) and in EGFR mutation positive NSCLC (37), to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to also identify a role of PIM in MET exon 14 skipping NSCLC.

Although clinical results achieved by using MET TKI monotherapy have not been fully satisfactory till now (14-16,38-40), the possibility of combining MET and Src inhibitors, or PIM inhibitors, in the clinical setting might raise some concern. Recently, a phase I trial was conducted with crizotinib plus dasatinib across multiple cancer types and the toxicity profile of the combination was worrying, with over 20% of patients experiencing dose limiting toxicities in the expansion cohort (41). PIM kinase inhibitors are being investigated in clinical trials, mainly in hematological malignancies. The development of several PIM inhibitors, including AZD1208, has been interrupted due to high toxicity rate (42).

In our study, we also included a screening in tumor samples. Overall, almost 10% of the tumor samples were positive for MET alterations. The proportion of MET mutant patients in our series is quite comparable with the previously reported data, identifying higher prevalence of MET alterations in lung squamous cell carcinoma (sqCC) and sarcomatoid histology (MET exon 14 mutations in 7% and 6.6%, respectively). In the largest series by Schrock et al., 7.7% of sarcomatoid tumors, 2.1% of squamous cell carcinoma, and 8.2% of adenosquamous carcinoma harbored MET exon 14 mutation (9). They also identified the MET mutation in 2.9% of lung adenocarcinomas (9). In our series, we did not find any MET alteration in lung adenocarcinoma patients with baseline EGFR mutations. This finding, although based on a small number of cases, may suggest that MET alterations are more likely to occur as a mechanism of acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs, rather than being present at baseline as a co-mutation alteration in NSCLC treated with EGFR TKI (4).

Figure 5 Kaplan-Meier curves: panel (A) represents overall survival from aNSCLC diagnosis of the overall MET mutant population (MET amplification, MET exon 14 mutation, MET exon 7–8 mutation); panel (B) represents overall survival from aNSCLC diagnosis of the MET altered patients with exclusion of the MET exon 7–8 mutation.
driving primary resistance. Additionally, we have identified for the first time the presence of the MET exon 7–8 skipping mutation in a lung squamous cell carcinoma patient. This variant was previously identified in the E98 glioblastoma cell line and xenograft models, and in glioma samples (11,43). The pathogenetic mechanism of MET exon 7–8 resulting in intracellular retention and auto-activation, together with our preliminary evidence of MET TKI activity on this alteration, make it appear as a good molecular target candidate. Therefore, much effort should be made in the future to investigate the prevalence of this novel MET alteration in lung cancer.

The main limitations of this study are related to the retrospective nature of the tumor sample analysis, since the included patients were selected according to availability of evaluative tumor material and complete medical records. Patients with non-EGFR mutant adenocarcinoma histology were excluded from our analysis and, therefore, are not represented. Because of the inclusion timeframe of our sample collection, none of the included patients were screened for MET alterations in clinical practice, nor received MET TKIs within clinical trials.

Conclusions

In conclusion, based on our preclinical results, further investigation is required on the role of RTKs, SRC, SHP2, CDCP1, and PIM as potential co-targets that may be modulated by treatment with single agent MET inhibitors.
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## Supplementary

**Table S1** Primary and secondary antibodies used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Western blotting primary antibody</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Company and catalog number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-beta-actin</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich (#A5441)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-AKT</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#9272)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho-AKT (S473)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#9271)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-AXL</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#8661)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-CDCP1</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#4115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho-CDCP1 (Y707)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#13111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-EGFR</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#4267)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho-EGFR (Y1068)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#3777)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho-EGFR (Y845)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#6963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-ERK1/2</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#9102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-PhosphoERK1/2 (T202/Y204)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#9101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-cMET</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#8198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho-cMET (Y1234-1235)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#3077)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Src</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#2109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho-Src Family (Y416)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#6943)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-STAT3</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#9139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho STAT3 (Y705)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#9145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-YAP1</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#12395)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Phospho YAP1 (Y357)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Abcam (#ab62751)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-AXL</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td>Cell signaling (#8661)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG HRP-linked</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>GE Healthcare (#NA934)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep anti-Mouse IgG HRP-linked</td>
<td>1:5,000</td>
<td>GE Healthcare (#NXA931)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2** Half maximal inhibitory concentrations—IC50s (nM) of the drugs used as combining agents to MET inhibitors in the four cell lines (mean value of three replicates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>EBC1</th>
<th>H1993</th>
<th>E98</th>
<th>Hs746T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIM447</td>
<td>8,730</td>
<td>23,410</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>24,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZD1208</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>43,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3 Combination index for MET inhibitors and AZD1208 in the four cell lines (mean value of three replicates): <1 synergism, =1 additive, >1 antagonism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Savolitinib + AZD1208</th>
<th>Tepotinib + AZD1208</th>
<th>Crizotinib + AZD1208</th>
<th>Cabozantinib + AZD1208</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBC-1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1993</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs746T</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E98</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S1 The plot shows the combination index (CoI), evaluating the combined drug effect, of the MET inhibitor tepotinib combined with the PIM inhibitor PIM447 (mean value of three replicates): <1 synergism, =1 additive, >1 antagonism.

Table S4 Combination index for MET inhibitors and dasatinib in the four cell lines (mean value of three replicates): <1 synergism, =1 additive, >1 antagonism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Savolitinib + dasatinib</th>
<th>Tepotinib + dasatinib</th>
<th>Crizotinib + dasatinib</th>
<th>Cabozantinib + dasatinib</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBC-1</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1993</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs746T</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E98</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S2 EBC-1 cell line was treated with tepotinib 20 nM in five different T75 flasks. Cells were lysed in each flask at 0-2-4-6-8 hours timepoints and western blot analyses was performed. Experiments were performed in biological triplicates with similar results, and a representative blot is shown. After 8-hour time-course of tepotinib treatment, upregulation of the membrane proteins AXL, CDCP1, and of the intracellular proteins SRC, SHP2 and ERK, and of their phosphorylated forms p-SHP2, p-ERK was observed.

Figure S3 Heatmap representing mRNA profile of MET-addicted cell lines for specific biomarkers was evaluated in baseline conditions (mean value of three replicates). EBC-1 and Hs746T cell lines had higher PIM-1, CDCP1, AXL and SHP2 mRNA levels (red scale) compared to H1993 and E98 (blue scale). H1993 had the higher SRC mRNA level among the four cell lines.