**18**F-FDG-PET/CT in the assessment of pulmonary solitary nodules: comparison of different analysis methods and risk variables in the prediction of malignancy

Ober van Gómez López1, Ana María García Vicente1, Antonio Francisco Honguero Martínez2, Germán Andrés Jiménez Londoño1, Carlos Hugo Vega Caicedo1, Pablo León Atance2, Ángel María Soriano Castrejón1

1Nuclear Medicine Department, University General Hospital of Ciudad Real, Ciudad Real, Spain; 2Department of Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital of Albacete, Albacete, Spain

Correspondence to: Ober van Gómez López, MD. Nuclear Medicine Department, University General Hospital of Ciudad Real, Calle del Obispo Rafael Torija s/n, 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain. Email: obervan@yahoo.com.ar.

**Objective:** To compare the diagnostic performance of different metabolical, morphological and clinical criteria for correct presurgical classification of the solitary pulmonary nodule (SPN).

**Methods:** Fifty-five patients, with SPN were retrospectively analyzed. All patients underwent preoperative 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT). Maximum diameter in CT, maximum standard uptake value (SUV_{max}), histopathologic result, age, smoking history and gender were obtained. Different criteria were established to classify a SPN as malignant: (I) visually detectable metabolism, (II) SUV_{max} >2.5 regardless of SPN diameter, (III) SUV_{max} threshold depending of SPN diameter, and (IV) ratio SUV_{max}/diameter greater than 1. For each criterion, statistical diagnostic parameters were obtained. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to select the best diagnostic SUV_{max} and SUV_{max}/diameter cutoff. Additionally, a predictive model of malignancy of the SPN was derived by multivariate logistic regression.

**Results:** Fifteen SPN (27.3%) were benign and 40 (72.7%) malignant. The mean values ± standard deviation (SD) of SPN diameter and SUV_{max} were 1.93±0.57 cm and 3.93±2.67 respectively. Sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) of the different diagnostic criteria were (I): 97.5% and 13.1%; (II) 67.5% and 53.3%; (III) 70% and 53.3%; and (IV) 85% and 33.3%, respectively. The SUV_{max} cut-off value with the best diagnostic performance was 1.95 (Se: 80%; Sp: 53.3%). The predictive model had a Se of 87.5% and Sp of 46.7%. The SUV_{max} was independent variables to predict malignancy.

**Conclusions:** The assessment by semiquantitative methods did not improve the Se of visual analysis. The limited Sp was independent on the method used. However, the predictive model combining SUV_{max} and age was the best diagnostic approach.
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Introduction

A solitary pulmonary nodule (SPN) is defined radiologically as an intraparenchymal lung lesion of less than 3 cm in diameter, with no associated atelectasis or adenopathy (1,2). The management of SPN is clinically controversial and is mainly dependent on the perceived probability of malignancy (3). The prevalence of lung cancer in patients with SPN varies widely, from 2-13% in screening studies, to 46-82% in positron emission tomography (PET) studies.
For a suspicious malignant SPN, percutaneous transthoracic biopsy, transbronchial needle aspiration biopsy or video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery provides histological information. However, these are invasive procedures, skill-dependent and with variable accuracy to the diagnosis of cancer (6-8).

PET with $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) has had an important impact to the diagnosis of benign and malignant nodules. Some reports have suggested that PET can reduce the number of patients with pulmonary nodules who undergo unnecessary surgical biopsy (9). Therefore, PET using $^{18}$F-FDG is an accurate and noninvasive method for diagnosing SPNs, with an overall sensitivity (Se) of 95% and a specificity (Sp) of 82% (10). However, surgical resection is still needed to differentiate lung cancer from benign lesions in a significant number of cases (6). The combination of computed tomography (CT) and PET in the hybrid imaging, has showed an excellent performance in classifying SPN as benign or malignant, where the Se of CT and the Sp of PET, result in an overall significantly improved accuracy (3,11).

To determine the management and treatment of the patient with a SPN, is necessary to estimate the probability of malignity from clinical and imaging data. Some independent predictors of malignancy include age, current or past smoking history, previous extrathoracic malignancy, nodule diameter, spiculation, and upper lobe location (8,12). Although specific models exist for the calculation of the probability of malignancy of a SPN, they do not have enough accuracy to replace of the clinician’s judgment. On the other hand, adding metabolic parameter derived from PET studies has showed to improve the prediction of malignancy in SPN (11,13), however, it is necessary to increase the evidence that support the use of such metabolic parameters.

FDG uptake on PET has been qualitatively and semiquantitatively evaluated. Visual assessment is usually based upon comparison of FDG lesion uptake with normal mediastinal blood pool (14) and is the simplest among all the analyses, but nodules with similar FDG uptake to the mediastinum are difficult to evaluate visually. In order to have a more objective assessment, a cut-off the maximum standard uptake value ($SUV_{\text{max}}$) has been used for the establishment of malignancy. However, a great number of factors can affect the SUV, among them, body size, the blood glucose concentration, the time after injection, and the lesion diameter (15). As a result, the $SUV_{\text{max}}$ of a SPN could not reflect its true nature.

In an attempt to improve the diagnostic accuracy of the presurgical evaluation of the SPN, the integration of risk variables into predictive models has been carried out, because, contrary to the clinical judgment, quantitative predictive models might have advantages in accuracy and reproducibility (8,12-14,16). Even though, several CT derived parameters have been included in such predictive model, metabolic variables have been no included.

The purposes of the present study were as follows: (I) to determine an optimum semiquantitative criterion that allows discriminating between malignant and benign nodules and comparing with the visual assessment and (II) to derivate a model to estimate the pretest probability of malignancy of a patient with SPN based on clinical and PET/CT image variables.

Materials and methods

A retrospective evaluation of PET/CT image data, final pathological classification and risk clinical and demographic variables of patients with SPN was performed. The data analysis was carried out after the approval by the Institutional Review Board.

Patients

Between January 2007 and December 2012, patients with a suspicious SPN, underwent a combined whole-body FDG PET/CT imaging and surgical resection of the SPN were included. After surgery, a final histological diagnose was assigned.

Other patient’s characteristics as gender, age and previous or current history of smoking were analyzed.

PET/CT image acquisition and interpretation

Patients fasted for at least 4 h and had blood glucose levels less than 160 mg/dL previous to an intravenous administration of 370 MBq of $^{18}$F-FDG.

FDG PET/CT scans were performed approximately 60 min after FDG administration using an integrated PET/CT scanner (Discovery STE 16, GE Healthcare). PET/CT was obtained from the head to proximal thighs. Prior to PET acquisition, helical CT was performed to provide attenuation correction, with acquisition parameters for the CT of 120 kV and modulated 120 mA. No oral or intravenous contrast agents were used. Emission images were acquired in three-dimensional (3D) mode, 3 min per
PET images were reconstructed using CT for attenuation correction with ordered-subset expectation maximization iterative reconstruction algorithm. The PET and CT section thickness was 3.8 mm.

Two experienced nuclear medicine physicians reviewed the FDG-PET/CT studies in consensus. In the visual analysis of the PET data, a lesion was defined as negative (no FDG uptake visually detected) or positive (FDG-avid SPN regardless of its intensity).

For semiquantitative analysis, a circular region of interest was placed over the nodule location with the peak activity. The maximum intensity of FDG uptake was defined by body-weight SUV\textsubscript{max} measurement using the commercially available software provided by the manufacturer. On the other hand, the nodule diameter (mm) was assessed in axial projection on CT image.

Four metabolic criteria were used to consider a SPN as positive and therefore probably malignant:

(I) A visually detectable metabolism;
(II) SUV\textsubscript{max} > 2.5 regardless of nodule diameter;
(III) SUV\textsubscript{max} ≥ 1 if diameter ≤ 1 cm or SUV\textsubscript{max} > 2.5 if diameter > 1 cm;
(IV) Ratio SUV\textsubscript{max}/SPN diameter > 1.

**Final diagnosis**

All patients underwent surgical resection of the SPN. A definitive pathologic diagnosis of the SPN, classifying the lesions as benign or malignant, was established.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for windows version 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). All the comparisons were two-sided using a P value less than 0.05 to indicate statistical significance.

An independent t-test was used for comparing the age, diameter and SUV\textsubscript{max} of the benign and malignant nodules, while that chi-square was used for smoking history, and gender. The diagnostic accuracy was obtained for each of the four different diagnostic approaches. A positive SPN classified by any of the four criteria was considered malignant in the metabolic assessment.

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to obtain the best cut-off of the SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{max}/diameter (diagnostic approaches III and IV), and the areas under curve (AUC) values of were obtained with a confidence interval (CI) of 95%.

Finally, we developed a model to estimate the probability of malignancy of patients with SPN by using stepwise logistic regression, with the final diagnosis as the dependent variable and the following independent variables: age, gender, smoking history (never vs. ever), nodule size, and SUV\textsubscript{max}. Using backward selection, we achieved a final reduced model by eliminating variables that were not statistically significant at a level of 0.05. We used this final model to calculate the estimated probability of malignancy in each patient. We compared the predicted probability of malignancy with the final diagnosis and constructed a ROC curve. To describe the accuracy of the model for identifying malignancy in the patients, we reported the AUC with a CI of 95%.

**Results**

Fifty-five patients with SPN (45 men and 10 women, with a mean age of 62±11 years) were studied.

The pathologic analysis classified 40 (72.7%) of SPN as malignant and 15 (27.3%) as benign. From malignant SPN, the most prevalent histologies were: 65% adenocarcinoma, 17.5% epidermoid and 12.5% small cell carcinoma. Among the benign SPN, the most prevalent histologies were: 40% organizing pneumonia and 20% fibrosis. Patient demographics, smoking history and SPN characteristics attending the final pathologic diagnosis of the SPN are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Mean ± standard deviation (SD) values of SPN diameter and SUV\textsubscript{max} were 1.93±0.57 cm and 3.93±2.67, respectively.

There were statistically significant differences between the SUV\textsubscript{max} values and patient age with the final histology of the SPN (malignant or benign). The mean ± SD of the SUV\textsubscript{max} for benign nodules was 2.29±1.31 and 4.54±2.80 for malignant nodules (P=0.005). The mean patient age was 58±9 and 64±11 for benign and malignant SPN respectively, (P=0.045). No statistically significant differences were found for the rest of variables (Table 3).

Se, Sp and diagnostic accuracy for the different diagnostic criteria were (I): 97.5%, 13.1% and 74.5%; (II) 67.5%, 53.3% and 63.3%; (III) 70%, 53.3% and 64.5%; (IV) 85%, 33.3% and 70.9%, respectively.

ROC analysis showed an AUC for SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{max}/diameter of 0.75 and 0.79 (P <0.005), respectively.

The cutoff values with the best diagnostic performance were 1.95 (Se: 80%, Sp: 53.3%) and 1.04 (Se: 82.5%, Sp: 53.3%) for SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{max}/diameter, respectively. Figure 1 shows the ROC curves.
By using univariate analysis, we identified that age and \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) were associated to malignity (Table 3). However, only \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) was an independent predictor in the multivariate analysis, with odd ratio of 1.6 and (95% CI, 1.01-2.417), see Table 4. Although age was not an independent variable, it was included in the predictive model, because its clinical importance, becoming to be an independent predictor in patients older than 60 years.

All other variables were not predictors of malignity, and therefore were not included in the final model. The prediction model is described by the following equations:

\[
\text{Probability of malignancy of a SPN} = \frac{e^x}{1+e^x}
\]

Where \( x = -3.767 + (4.89 \times \text{SUV}_{\text{max}}) + (0.052 \times \text{Age}) \), \( e \) is the base of the natural logarithm, \( \text{Age} \) is the age in years and \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum uptake value on the PET. The accuracy of the model was good with an AUC of the ROC curve of 0.793 (95% CI, 0.676-0.911, \( P<0.001 \)), with Se and Sp of 87.5% and 46.7% respectively (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

The diagnosis of SPN remains a major challenge in medical practice. Detecting and diagnosing SPN is critical, as early identification of malignant nodules improves the chance for
successful treatment.

With regard to the FDG PET/CT imaging, some interpretation approaches have been assessed. Attending to visual assessment, a broad range of Se and Sp have been reported with values ranging from 69% to 100% and 63% to 85%, respectively (3,11,13,17). We found for the visual assessment (criterion I), a Se of 97.5%, it is in accordance with previously reported results, although the Sp (13.1%) was very limited, which is probably explained by the high prevalence of malignancy in our sample of patients. It is well known that higher the prevalence is, lower the risk of false positive results, and the prevalence will be higher as the inclusion of individuals in the screening program becomes more selective, focusing on higher clinical risk.

Abnormal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake is not specific for malignancy; some benign lesions such as bacterial pneumonia, active sarcoidosis, infectious granulomas, acute pyogenic abscesses, cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis, and so forth have been known to produce false-positive readings on PET (18). In our sample of patients, 27.3% of lesions were finally classified as benign, and from them the most prevalent were organizing pneumonia (40%), fibrosis (20%), and granulomas (13.3%). The median SUVₘₐₓ for the benign lesions were 2.29±1.31, while that for malignant lesions were 4.54±2.80 (P<0.001).

In an attempt to improve the accuracy of the metabolic assessment some semiquantitative procedures have been developed. For instance, the uptake of the SPN (i.e., the glucose utilization) can be semiquantitatively assessed by the SUVₘₐₓ and the uptake relative to the background activity in the uninvolved adjacent lung parenchyma and the mediastinum (19).

When we used a semiquantitative method, the Sp increased with a decreasing in sensibility and accuracy. The criterion (II), using a SUV cut-off of 2.5 regardless of the nodule size, had a sensibility, accuracy and Sp of 67.5%, 63.3% and 53.3% respectively. However, these parameters have been reported to be higher. A meta-analysis reported pooled Se of 95% (95% CI, 0.93-0.98) and Sp of 82% (95% CI, 0.77-0.88) to malignant nodules (10).

Partial volume effect and motion during the scan acquisition affects the uptake values measurement, especially for lesions smaller than about three times the spatial resolutions of the equipment, so partial volume and motion corrections factors for standardized PET uptake values may significantly change the differential diagnosis of small pulmonary nodules (20). In order to take into account volume partial effect, we used two different approximations to consider a SPN as malign, (criterion III): a variable threshold of SUVₘₐₓ depending on the SPN diameter, and (criterion IV): the value obtained by dividing the SUVₘₐₓ between the diameter of the nodule. This approach is justified, because SUVₘₐₓ measure is affected by the nodule size, and although it is possible to use a recovery coefficient to have more accurate measurement (21), we use the nodule size, since it is proportional to the recovery coefficient.

The respiratory movement reduces the Se to detect pulmonary lesions; however, the synchronized acquisition of PET with respiratory movement (4D PET) can reduce this inconvenient. When the 4D PET is used to evaluate faint pulmonary lesions there is an increase of SUVₘₐₓ respect to 3D (22,23). Even when this modality of acquisition was not used in our patient group, we expect to apply it to develop future works.

### Table 4 Multivariate logistic regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Odds ratio value</th>
<th>95% CI Lower</th>
<th>95% CI Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUVₘₐₓ</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>2.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>1.123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; SUVₘₐₓ, maximum standard uptake value.

**Figure 2** ROC curve of clinical data of the patients using our predictive model. ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
We aimed to assess the diagnostic accuracy of FDG-PET/CT, as well as to identify predictive factors of malignancy in SPN. With respect to the ROC analysis, the best cut-off for the \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) was 1.95 vs. 1.04 for the index \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}}/\text{diameter} \). Both values showed a Se of 80% and 82.5% respectively, with the same Sp (53.3%). There was an improvement of the diagnostic parameters, especially for the Sp. Our values of Se and Sp were similar to others published. For instance, Kim et al. (21) found that a \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) value of 2.5 had a Se and Sp of 89% and 51%, respectively, for all lesion sizes. Also Grgic et al. (13) obtained a Se and Sp of 96% and 55%, respectively.

Age has been reported to be one important risk factors for SPN malignancy (8,14). In our study, we found a statistically significant association between age and malignancy, as has been described. However, it was not an independent predictor of malignity.

The lesion diameter is also an important risk factor for malignancy. Numerous studies have confirmed this finding, always associating lesion growth with its malignant potential. Nodules of more than 20 mm in diameter have a greater than 50% chance of being diagnosed as malignant (20,24). This is not consistent with the findings of the present study, in which we did not find a significant association between lesion diameter and malignancy. We believe that the small size of the sample might have influenced this result.

Smoking has been found as independent predictor of malignancy (4,8,14). In our population the majority of patients had smoking history. Because of low percentage of non-smokers, our population was biased. It might have influenced the results, since we did not find relation between smoking history with the SPN malignancy. An interesting fact is that even, when the principal histological types related to smoking are squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma (25), we had low prevalence of these histologic types.

The retrospective nature of the study and the selection criteria could affect our results especially the latter. The fact that all the included patients with PET/CT were undergone surgery implied a high pre-test probability of malignancy that biases the PET/CT Sp. However, that warranted the final histopathological confirmation of all lesions.

With regard to our results, a significant statistical difference between the \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) and patient age with final histology of SPN (benign and malignant) was found. This is in accordance with other studies (13,20). However, we found no statistically significant relation between malignancy and factors previously described as predictors of malignancy, such as smoking status, gender, and nodule diameter.

Predictive models of SPN malignancy is of major interest to clinicians. We derived a model to predict the probability of malignancy by a multivariate regression analysis, and identified the \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) as only independent predictors of malignancy of SPN. Our model had a Se and a Sp of 92.5% and 66.7%, respectively. Unlike other models (12,14,20), in which only clinical and morphological variables have been used, our model includes the \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) as a metabolic variable. The results obtained in this preliminary study allow us to conclude that the \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) is a good predictor of malignancy in a SPN and can be used in the diagnostic setting whenever available.

On the other hand, it will be necessary to develop new predictor models of malignancy based on clinical, morphological and metabolic variables, and test their validity.

The use of invasive diagnostic methods, such as fine-needle puncture, has risks to the patients, such as pneumothorax, bleeding and dissemination of the tumor along the trajectory of the needle (26). On the other hand, surgical lung biopsy has a mortality rate of around 0.6% (27). An accurate, robust and efficient predictive models for SPN malignity, it could provide clinicians with reliable information to avoid the need for an invasive diagnostic methods, allowing to limit the management of a SPN with a safe clinical monitoring.

Our predictive model of the SPN malignancy, unlike to other models, used the metabolic variable \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \), showing that it is an independent variable to predict malignancy.

The diagnostic performance of this model was higher than visual and semiquantitative methodologies.

**Conclusions**

The assessment of SPN by semiquantitative methods did not improve the sensibility of visual analysis. The limited specificity was independent of the method used. However, the predictive model combining \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) and age was the best diagnostic approach, showing the \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) to be an independent variable to predict malignancy of a SPN.
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