Targeting histone deacetylase enhances the therapeutic effect of Erastin-induced ferroptosis in EGFR-activating mutant lung adenocarcinoma
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Background: Intrinsic or acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) is common, thus strategies for the management of EGFR-TKIs resistance are urgently required. Ferroptosis is a recently discovered form of cell death that has been implicated in tumorigenesis and resistance treatment. Accumulating evidence suggests that ferroptosis can be therapeutically exploited for the treatment of solid tumors; however, whether ferroptosis can be targeted to treat EGFR mutant lung cancer and/or overcome the resistance to EGFR-TKIs is still unknown.

Methods: The effect of ferroptosis inducers on a panel of EGFR mutant lung cancer cell lines, including those with EGFR-TKI intrinsic and acquired (generated by long-term exposure to the third-generation EGFR-TKI osimertinib), was determined using cytotoxicity assays. Further, a drug combinatorial approach to enhance the effect of ferroptosis inducers was screened through implementing WGCNA (weighted gene co-expression network analysis) and CMAP (connectivity map) analysis. Flow cytometry-based apoptosis and lipid hydroperoxides measurement were used to evaluate the cell fates after treatment.

Results: Compared with EGFR-TKI-sensitive cells, those with intrinsic or acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI display high sensitivity to ferroptosis inducers. In addition, Vorinostat, a clinically used inhibitor targeting histone deacetylase, can robustly enhance the efficacy of ferroptosis inducers, leading to a dramatic increase of lipid hydroperoxides in EGFR mutant lung cancer cells with intrinsic or acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI.

Conclusions: Ferroptosis-inducing therapy shows promise in EGFR-activating mutant lung cancer cells that display intrinsic or acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI. Histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi) Vorinostat can further promote ferroptosis by inhibiting xCT expression.

^ ORCID: 0000-0002-8732-5910.
Introduction

Lung cancer is a malignant tumor with the highest mortality, and lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) accounts for 40% of all lung cancer cases (1). In Asia, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is the most common driver mutation in LUAD, with an incidence of 55% (2-4). Of those, EGFR-activating mutation in LUAD accounts for 17.4% worldwide and 37.3% in China (5). EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) currently represent the standard first-line treatment for patients with EGFR-mutant LUAD (6). Although using EGFR-TKI has resulted in significant clinical benefit and an unprecedented increase in survival in patients with advanced EGFR mutant NSCLC (7-10), acquired resistance invariably develops. Secondary EGFR mutations, including EGFR-T790M mutations and other mutations within the EGFR domain, mutations in MAPK, PI3K and cell cycle genes and amplification of EGFR or other oncogenes, like MET, lead to acquired EGFR-TKI resistance of LUAD cells (11-13). However, some patients acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI in the absence of known resistance mechanisms. Thus, intrinsic EGFR-TKI resistance is a clinical challenge. It is reported that about 20–30% EGFR-mutant LUAD were intrinsic resistant to EGFR-TKI (14). Therefore, how to overcome these acquired and intrinsic EGFR-TKI resistance has been the focus of clinical attention.

Ferroptosis is a newly discovered form of cell death caused by an accumulation of toxic lipid peroxides (15). Recent evidence suggested that ferroptosis is commonly dysregulated and contributes to tumorigenesis (16), highlighting anti-ferroptosis as a promising anticancer strategy (17) or to overcome therapeutic resistance (18). Lipoxygenases (LOXs) are a set of non-heme iron-containing enzymes that catalyze the peroxidation of free and esterified polyunsaturated fatty acids to corresponding lipid peroxides (19,20) while GPX4 reduces the toxic lipid peroxides to the nontoxic fatty alcohols (19,21). The use of GPX4 inhibitors, including rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (RAS)-selective lethal factor 3 (RSL3), can effectively induce ferroptosis (19). GPX4 reduces lipid peroxides using GSH as a cofactor (20). Cysteine is necessary for the synthesis of GSH, and cystine, the precursor of intracellular cysteine, is imported by the amino acid transporter system xc−. system xc− is a heterodimer consisting a light chain (xCT, SLC7A11) and a heavy chain (4F2hc, SLC3A2). xCT is the key protein of the ferroptosis regulatory network (20,22). Overexpression of xCT can protect tumor cells from ferroptosis (23). Dixon et al. (19,20) showed that using xCT inhibitor Erastin may directly inhibit the system xc− activity, reduce cystine uptake and induce ferroptosis. However, Erastin can induce the compensatory increase of xCT (16). Bersuker et al. (24) displayed that ferroptosis inhibitor protein 1 (FSP1), also known as apoptosis-inducing factor mitochondrial associated protein 2 (AIFM2), may inhibit cell ferroptosis through GPX4-independent pathways.

Interestingly, a growing body of evidence has shown that EGFR signaling pathway participates in ferroptosis regulation (25-29). However, it is still unclear whether ferroptosis induction can be used as a new strategy to treat EGFR mutant LUAD or overcome the acquired and intrinsic EGFR-TKI resistance. Therefore, in this study, we attempted to treat intrinsic and acquired EGFR-TKI resistant LUAD by inducing ferroptosis.

The development of therapeutic resistance is common. To further dissect the therapeutic effect of ferroptosis inducers, we searched for targeted drugs that could affect tumor cells’ ferroptosis sensitivity. Our previous studies have found that various potential drugs can induce ferroptosis of cancer cells (30).

In this study, by combining weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) with Connectivity Map analysis (CMap), we found that a histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi), Vorinostat, could reverse the ferroptosis resistance of tumor cells and further promote the therapeutic effect of ferroptosis induction. Mechanistically, we found that HDACi exerted its role via downregulating SLC7A11 (xCT). Together, in this study, we found that after developing resistance to EGFR-TKIs, EGFR-mut lung cancer cells display hypersensitivity to ferroptosis inducers, which, to our best knowledge, has not been reported. Also, we implemented bioinformatic strategies to search for drugs (HDAC inhibitors) that have the most potential to enhance the effect of ferroptosis inducers. Finally, we confirmed that...
combined HDAC inhibitors could dramatically enhance the efficacy of ferroptosis inducers. We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-21-303).

### Methods

#### Materials

The materials used in this study are described in Table 1.

#### Study methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study research did not involve human or animals, thus ethical approval was waived.

### Human LUAD cell lines and cell culture

EGFR mutant LUAD cell lines, HCC827, HCC4006, H1975, H1650, PC9, HCC4011 and H1993, were preserved by our laboratory. Osimertinib resistant cell lines HCC827R and H1975R were established and preserved in our laboratory, according to our previous study (31). The development of resistance to EGFR-TKI in HCC827 (HCC827R) and H1975 (H1975R) was confirmed by cell viability assay (Figure S1A,B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent or resource</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC827</td>
<td>Shanghai Chest Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC4006</td>
<td>Shanghai Chest Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC4011</td>
<td>Shanghai Chest Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1975</td>
<td>American type culture collection (ATCC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1650</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1993</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC9</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC827R</td>
<td>Shanghai Chest Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1975R</td>
<td>Shanghai Chest Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erastin</td>
<td>Selleck</td>
<td>CAS No. 571203-78-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osimertinib</td>
<td>Selleck</td>
<td>CAS No. 1421373-65-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat</td>
<td>Selleck</td>
<td>CAS No. 149647-78-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>Selleck</td>
<td>CAS No. 183321-74-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afatinib</td>
<td>Selleck</td>
<td>CAS No. 439081-18-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODIPY™ 581/591 C11</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>CAS No. D3861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4% Trypan Blue Stain</td>
<td>YEASEN</td>
<td>40207ES60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK8 kit</td>
<td>Dojindo, Japan</td>
<td>CK04-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-xCT</td>
<td>CST, Danvers, USA</td>
<td>#12691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-actin</td>
<td>Multisciences, Hangzhou, China</td>
<td>ab008-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP labeled mouse second antibody</td>
<td>Multisciences, Hangzhou, China</td>
<td>GAM0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP labeled rabbit second antibody</td>
<td>Multisciences, Hangzhou, China</td>
<td>GAR0072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were cultured in a complete medium holding 90% RPMI-1640 medium, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and 1% 100× penicillin and streptomycin, at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C incubators.

**Detecting ferroptosis of LUAD cells by C11-BODIPY**

H1650, HCC827R, H1975R cells were cultured in 6-well plates with 10⁵ cells per well. The next day, H1650 cells were treated with DMSO, Vorinostat 1 μM, Erastin 1 μM, or Erastin 1 μM + Vorinostat 1 μM for 48 h. HCC827R cells were treated with DMSO, Vorinostat 0.5 μM, Erastin 5 μM, or Erastin 5 μM + Vorinostat 0.5 μM for 24 h. C11-BODIPY lipid peroxide probe solution (2.5 μM, using RPMI-1640 medium as solute) was added to each well. After incubation at 37 °C for 30 min, each group's cells were collected. The level of lipid peroxidation was quantified by flow cytometry (30).

The concentration of Erastin and Vorinostat was determined based on the previous literature (16,17,19,21,32,33).

**Detecting apoptosis in LUAD cells by Annexin V/PI double staining**

H1650 cells were cultured in 6-well plates at 1×10⁵ cells per well. After being cultured overnight, H1650 cells were divided into four groups: control, Vorinostat 1 μM, Erastin 1 μM, and Erastin 1 μM + Vorinostat 1 μM. After 48 hours, the cells were collected, incubated with PI and AnnexinV-FITC (34-36). The positive cells for apoptosis (AnnexinV-FITC positive, PI negative, or APC annexin V positive, PI positive) were analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Western blotting (WB) analysis**

HCC827, HCC4006, H1650, PC9, H1993, H1975, HCC4011, H1975R and HCC827R cells were cultured in 6 cm dishes and divided into control group, Erastin (1 μM) group, Vorinostat group (1 μM), and combination group (1 μM Vorinostat and 1 μM Erastin). After 24 h treatment, the cells were lysed with RIPA buffer, and the lysate proteins were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The protein was transferred to the PVDF 0.2 μm membrane by wet transfer. Samples were transferred to the PVDF membrane (Millipore Corporation, IPVH00010), sealed with TBST holding 5% skim milk powder, and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature; the primary antibody was incubated at 4 °C overnight. The membranes were then washed 3× with TBS/T, incubated with secondary antibody at 1:5,000 for 1 hour in TBS/T, and washed 3× with TBS/T. HRP-conjugated bands were imaged using enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (ECL, Thermo Fisher), and chemiluminescence was recorded with Bio-RAD ChemiDoc-XRS+ chemiluminescence imager.

**Cell counting assay and cell viability assay.**

HCC827, HCC4006, H1650, PC9, H1993, H1975, HCC4011, H1975R and HCC827R were inoculated into 6-well plates and divided into control group, Erastin (1 μM) group, Vorinostat group (1 μM) and combination group (1 μM Vorinostat and 1 μM Erastin). After 48 hours of drug treatment, the cells of each group were collected. After trypan blue staining, the living cells in each group were counted. The cell viability was calculated according to the formula: cell viability (%) = [(the number of living cells in the control group) – (the number of living cells in the treated group)]/(the number of living cells in the control group) ×100%. The dose of Erastin and RSL3 that we used to treat EGFR mutant LUAD cells (H1650, H1993, and HCC4011) in Figure 1A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H was lower than the conventional experimental dose (Erastin, 5 μM; RSL3, 1 μM) (16,17,19,20).

**Cell proliferation assays**

H1650, HCC4011, H1993, H1975R and HCC827R cell lines were seeded at 5×10⁵ cells/well in 96-well plates. Three replicate wells were used for each analysis. After the cells were incubated with different concentrations of drugs for 4 days, respectively, cell viability was monitored with CCK8 kit (CCK8, Dojindo, Japan) following the producer's suggestions; 10 μL CCK-8 solution was added to each well, incubated for 2 h, and then assayed using a microplate reader with a wavelength of 450 nm. The growth curve was drawn with drug concentrations as the abscissa and absorbance value as the vertical axis.

**Data sources and analysis**

From the attachment of the literature DOI: 10.1038/nchembio.1986, we obtained the area under the concentration-effect curve (AUC) of Erastin, RSL3, ML162 to 659 kinds of tumor cell lines (37).

We obtained the 659 tumor cell lines, the mRNA expression matrix from the CCLE database (Cancer Cell
Figure 1 EGFR-TKI intrinsic drug-resistant EGFR activating mutant lung cancer cells are more sensitive to ferroptosis inducers. (A) HCC4011, H1993, H1650 cells were divided into two groups: treatment group (Erastin 5 μM) and control group. After 48 hours of treatment, the cells were counted, and a t-test was used to compare the number of cells between the two groups. (B) HCC4011, H1993, H1650 cells were divided into treatment groups (RSL3 1 μM) and the control group. After 48 hours of treatment, the cells were counted, and a t-test was used to compare the number of cells between the two groups. CCK8 assay was used to detect the sensitivity of HCC4011, H1993, H1650 to afatinib (C), Erlotinib (D), RSL3 (E), Erastin (F). (G,H) HCC827, HCC827R, H1975, H1975R cells were divided into two groups: treated group (RSL3 1 μM or Erastin 5 μM) and control group. The cells were counted after treatment for 72 hours, 120 hours, and 168 hours, and the number of cells in each group was compared with the t-test. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.005; ***, P<0.0005; ****, P<0.00005. EGFR-TKI, epidermal growth factor receptor–tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

Line Encyclopedia, https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle/about), including 18,543 genes.

WGCNA
We used the R package “WGCNA” for WGCNA analysis to find the key gene modules and hub genes related to ferroptosis sensitivity (32,38,39). According to the dissimilarity measurement for Topological Overlap Matrix (TOM), genes are divided into different gene modules. Here, we set the soft threshold to 4 (scale-free R^2=0.96), the cutting height to 0.25, and the minimum number of module genes to 30 to find key modules. The Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis were
performed on the module with the highest correlation with R software’s ferroptosis sensitivity. The genes with GS (gene significance) >0.3 and MM (module membership) >0.8 are defined as hub genes. The co-expression network of 30 hub genes with the highest connectivity was visualized by Cytoscape software (version 3.4.0).

**Gene functional enrichment analysis and protein interaction network analysis**

The R packet “clusterprofiler” was used for GO enrichment and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. The GO terms and KEGG pathways with a corrected P value <0.05 were considered significant and were visualized by “GOplot” (R packet). Protein interaction network analysis was performed using STRING (https://string-db.org).

**Screening of drugs that promote ferroptosis by using the Connectivity Map database**

The top 500 high connectivity genes in the gene modules which was the most positively correlated with ferroptosis sensitivity in WGCNA analysis were taken as a down-tag gene set, and the top 500 high connectivity genes in the gene modules which was the most negatively correlated with ferroptosis sensitivity in WGCNA analysis were taken as an up-tag gene set. The expression profiles of these 1,000 genes were uploaded into CMap (http://www.broad.mit.edu/cmap/) database to obtain the drugs that have the potential to reverse the ferroptosis resistance genotype (gene expression profile) (40).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation, with the indicated sample size (n) representing biological replicates. Data analysis was performed using R (version 3.6.3) (41-43). Statistical significance was determined by one-way/ two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test, and Student’s t-test using R, unless otherwise indicated. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**EGFR-TKI intrinsic resistant LUAD cells and EGFR-TKI acquired resistant LUAD cells are sensitive to ferroptosis inducers**

Firstly, we observed that ferroptosis inducers Erastin and RSL3 could significantly decrease cell number of three EGFR mutant LUAD cell lines (H1650, H1993, and HCC4011) (Figure 1A,B). Assessing EGFR-TKI sensitivity, H1650 showed strong resistance to both the first and second generations of EGFR-TKI, followed by H1993, and HCC4011 was the most sensitive (Figure 1C,D). Assessing ferroptosis inducers sensitivity, we could see that H1650, which was the most resistant to EGFR-TKI, was the most sensitive to Erastin and RSL3. However, HCC4011, which was the most sensitive to the first- and second-generation EGFR-TKI, was the most resistant to Erastin and RSL3 (Figure 1E,F). In addition, the lung cancer cell line 1975R, which was resistant to the third-generation EGFR-TKI osimertinib, was more sensitive to ferroptosis inducers than the primary cell line H1975 (Figure 1G,H).

**Screening of key genes affecting ferroptosis resistance of tumor cells by WGCNA analysis combined with Connectivity Map technique**

The sensitivity of each tumor to ferroptosis was measured by the concentration-effect curve (AUC) of three ferroptosis inducers: Erastin, RSL3, ML162. The mRNA expression matrix (including 18,543 genes of 659 tumor cell lines) and AUC matrix were used to perform WGCNA analysis (Figure 2A). By setting the soft threshold power as 5 (R^2=0.92) and the cutting height as 0.25, we identified nine gene modules (Figure 2B,C,D,E, unclustered DEG was shown in gray). From the heatmap of module-trait correlations, we found that the blue module was the most positively correlated with the AUC score of the three ferroptosis inducers, and the black module was the most negatively correlated with the AUC score of the three ferroptosis inducers. By calculating the correlation between the GS and MM, we further verified the genes in the blue module, and the black module was significantly correlated with the ferroptosis sensitivity (Figure S2A,B). The relationship between the genes in the blue module and the blue module and ferroptosis resistance was further verified.

Thus, the overexpression of genes in the blue module could inhibit tumor cells’ ferroptosis, while the overexpression of genes in the black module could promote tumor cells’ ferroptosis. Targeting genes in the blue module could reverse the ferroptosis resistance of tumors.

Co-expression network analysis showed that the expression of 30 most connected genes in the blue and black module was significantly positively correlated (Figure S2C,D) and there was the interaction between the proteins expressed...
by these genes (Figure S2E,F). We performed GO and KEGG analysis to reveal genes’ potential biological function in the black and blue modules. In GO analysis, the blue module was significantly enriched in epidermal development and epidermal differentiation (Figure 3A,B), while in KEGG enrichment analysis, the blue module was significantly enriched in Wnt and Hippo signal pathways. In GO analysis, the black module was significantly enriched in small GTP enzyme binding and RasGTP enzyme binding, while in KEGG analysis, the black module was significantly enriched in the chemokine-related pathway and Rap1 signal pathway (Figure 3C,D).

Screening drugs that can reverse ferroptosis resistance of EGFR mutant LUAD cells

The top 500 genes with the highest connectivity in the black module were taken as the down-tag gene set, and the top 500 genes with the highest connectivity in the blue module were taken as the up-tag gene set. The 1,000 genes were uploaded into the Connectivity Map (CMap, http://www.broad.mit.edu/cmap/) analysis in QuerySignature...
Figure 3 Gene enrichment analysis of black module and blue module. (A) Blue module GO enrichment analysis results. (B) Blue module KEGG enrichment analysis results. (C) Black module GO enrichment analysis results. (D) Black module KEGG enrichment analysis results. The dot size shows the number of genes, the Y-axis is the enriched pathway in GO and KEGG analysis, and the legend's color is marked according to the corrected P value. GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

file format. The outputs of CMap analysis were sorted according to the mean of the correlation coefficient, and the drugs with negative correlation were proposed to reverse ferroptosis resistance status (40). We took P<0.05 as the criterion for judging the significant effect of drugs, and a total of 33 drugs were selected (Figure 4A). Among the 33 drugs, Vorinostat had the highest specificity (specificity =0.60, Figure 4B). It was suggested Vorinostat could reverse ferroptosis resistance and enhance the therapeutic effect of ferroptosis inducer.

To evaluate this hypothesis, we used Vorinostat and Erastin alone or in combination to treat EGFR mutant LUAD cells (HCC827, HCC4006, PC-9, H1650, H1993). We selected HCC827, HCC4006 and PC9 cells as EGFR TKI sensitive lines and H1650, PC9 cells as EGFR-TKI resistant lines according to the previous studies (44-47). The results showed that the cell numbers of combination group were more prominently diminished than that of single-drug group (Figure 4C). We also observed that Vorinostat significantly enhanced the inhibitory effect of Erastin on the proliferation of EGFR-TKI acquired resistant cell line HCC827R and H1975R (Figure 4D).

In addition, in the EGFR-TKI resistant H1650 cell line, we found that the level of lipid peroxide, a biomarker of ferroptosis, was higher in the Vorinostat and Erastin combination treatment group than that in the single-drug group (Figure 5A). In addition, apoptosis level of combination treatment group was more obvious than that of single-drug group (Figure 5B). Therefore, we believed that Vorinostat significantly promoted the Erastin-
Figure 4 The drugs reversing ferroptosis resistance were screened by Connectivity Map, and the inhibitory effect of the selected drugs on cell proliferation was verified by cytotoxicity test. (A) Three-dimensional scatter map. The drugs with $P<0.05$ were marked red, and those with $P>0.05$ were marked blue. (B) Taking $P<0.05$ as the criterion for judging the significant effect of drugs, a total of 33 drugs were selected. Among them, Vorinostat has the highest specificity (specificity = 0.618). (C) HCC4006, H1650, HCC827, H1993, PC-9 cells were divided into control group, Erastin (1 μM) group, Vorinostat group (HDAC inhibitor, 1 μM) and combination group (1 μM Vorinostat and 1 μM Erastin). The number of cells was counted after 48 hours of drug treatment, and the number of cells in different treatment groups was compared by one-way ANOVA test and Bonferroni method. (D) HCC827R and H1975R cells were divided into blank control group, Erastin (1 μM) group, Vorinostat group (HDAC inhibitor, 1 μM), and combination group (1 μM Vorinostat and 1 μM Erastin). The number of cells was counted after 48 hours of drug treatment, and the number of cells in different treatment groups was compared by one-way ANOVA test and Bonferroni method. *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$; ***, $P<0.001$; ****, $P<0.0001$. HDAC, histone deacetylase; ANOVA, analysis of variance.
induced ferroptosis of EGFR mutant LUAD cells. Lipid peroxide detection assay also showed that Vorinostat could significantly enhance the Erastin-induced ferroptosis of HCC827R and H1975R cells (Figure 3C,D). It is worth noting that growing evidence in the literature suggests monotherapy with Vorinostat could overcome EGFR-TKI acquired resistance (48).

**Vorinostat enhances the ferroptosis of EGFR mutant LUAD cells induced by Erastin by down-regulating the expression of xCT**

Western blot results showed the expression of xCT protein decreased in HCC827, HCC4006, PC-9, H1650, and H1993 cells after treating them with Vorinostat alone, while the expression of xCT protein increased in these cells after treating them with Erastin alone, while Erastin combined with Vorinostat reversed the compensatory increase of xCT induced by Erastin (Figure 6A,B,C,D,E). Not only that, but we also found comparable results in EGFR-TKI acquired resistant cell line HCC827R (Figure 6F).

**Discussion**

*EGFR* is the most frequent oncogenic driver mutation in Chinese patients with LUAD (2-4). The standard first-line treatment for LUAD with *EGFR* mutation includes EGFR-TKI (49). Although most patients with LUAD harboring EGFR-TKI-sensitizing mutations have an initial responsiveness to EGFR-TRKI treatment, LUAD cells will inevitably develop acquired EGFR-TKI resistance under drug selective pressure. Secondary mutations, including *EGFR*-T790M mutations and other mutations within the EGFR domain, mutations in MAPK, PI3K and cell cycle genes and amplifications of other oncogenes lead to acquired drug resistance of LUAD cells to EGFR-TKI (50,51). In addition, 20-30% of LUAD patients with *EGFR* sensitive mutations are insensitive to EGFR-TKI and display intrinsic drug resistance (14). Therefore, it is crucial to understand the mechanisms of sensitivity and resistance to currently available therapies in this subset of lung cancers.

Previous data have shown that ferroptosis regulatory system's inactivation plays a key role in tumor occurrence and development. Against this background, researchers have recently proposed various schemes to induce ferroptosis (52). The efficacy of ferroptosis induction therapy in treating *EGFR* mutant LUAD and EGFR-TKI-resistant LUAD has not been reported but it has been proven that the activation of the EGFR pathway can increase the expression of the proteins in the lipid peroxide reduction system (25-29) while also promote the accumulation of intrinsic lipid peroxides (53-55). For example, the activation of the EGFR-p62-Keap1-NRF2 pathway in tumor cells can induce xCT protein expression and contribute to ferroptosis resistance (27). In gliomas, the activation of the EGFR pathway can induce the expression of xCT and enhance the malignant potential of tumor cells (26). In the testis of Drosophila melanogaster, the activation of the EGFR pathway can support the lipid homeostasis in testicular stem
Figure 6  Vorinostat suppressed the expression of xCT in EGFR-activating mutant lung adenocarcinoma cells and EGFR-TKI-acquired drug-resistant lung adenocarcinoma cells. HCC827 (A), PC-9 (B), H1650 (C), H1993 (D), HCC4006 (E) and HCC827R (F) were divided into control group, Erasin (1 μM) group, Vorinostat group (HDAC inhibitor, 1 μM) and combination group (1 μM Vorinostat and 1 μM Erasin). After 24 hours of treatment, the proteins were collected for the Western Blot test. As shown in the figure, Vorinostat alone decreased the expression of xCT in HCC827, HCC4006, PC-9, H1650, H1993, and HCC827R cells, and the expression of xCT protein in these cells was increased after being treated with Erasin alone, while Erasin combined with Vorinostat reversed the increase of xCT expression induced by Erasin. EGFR-TKI, epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

cells by enhancing autophagy. However, cysteine deficiency causes EGFR mutant human breast cancer cells to be more sensitive to ROS-dependent ferroptosis (29), and the activation of EGFR-MMP3-Raclb and EGFR-Rac1-NOX2 pathways can lead to an increase of intrinsic ROS (53-55). Therefore, it can be reasonably inferred that in LUAD cell lines which are sensitive or resistance to EGFR-TKIs, the inactivation of the lipid peroxide reduction system will irreversibly increase the level of lipid peroxide and enhance the sensitivity of cells to ferroptosis induction, as already shown in breast cancer (29).

To verify our inference, we first evaluated the efficiency of ferroptosis inducers, Erasin and RSL3, on the intrinsic and acquired EGFR-TKI resistant LUAD cells and found that ferroptosis inducers at lower than conventional doses could significantly inhibit the cell proliferation of them. These results suggested that ferroptosis induction could be used to treat intrinsic or acquired EGFR-TKI-resistant LUAD. To further dissect the therapeutic effect of ferroptosis inducers, we also screened potential drugs that could enhance tumor sensitivity to ferroptosis by combining WGCNA analysis and Connectivity Map techniques.

Among all the drugs with P<0.05, the antitumor drug Vorinostat, already clinical used (56,57), had the highest specificity. By cytological experiments, we identified that Vorinostat significantly enhanced the ferroptosis induction effect of Erasin and the promoting effect of Vorinostat on ferroptosis was related to the inhibition of the expression of xCT, the key protein regulating ferroptosis.

Also, some literature showed that Vorinostat could increase the production of reactive oxidative species (ROS) (58-60), while the increase of intracellular ROS could promote lipid auto-oxidation (61) and enhance the sensitivity of tumor cells to ferroptosis. In cancer cells exposed to Erasin treatment, a compensatory upregulation of SCL7A11 can be observed (62). In our study, we found that HDAC inhibitor Vorinostat could downregulate SLC7A11, thus blocking the compensatory upregulation of SCL7A11 induced by Erasin treatment, consequently enhancing the treatment effect of Erasin.

Consistent with our findings, Zang et al. showed that Vorinostat monotherapy can significantly overcome lung cancer cells’ resistance to the first, second, and third-generation EGFR-TKI (48). However, another clinical
trial showed that the addition of Vorinostat to erlotinib failed to add clinical benefit when trialed in patients with EGFR mutated advanced LUAD, previously treated with erlotinib, however, this study contained patients with T790M mutations which would not be expected to derive benefit from this combination. Its addition to osimertinib at the time of progressive disease, or to prevent intrinsic or acquired resistance, has not been studied in a clinical setting (63).

Meanwhile, our study had a number of limitations. First, our experiments were limited to in vitro scenarios and further studies should be performed in vivo. Second, we did not verify the efficacy of ferroptosis induction to overcome intrinsic and acquired EGFR-TKI resistance in LUAD patients. Third, limited panel of cell lines were analyzed. Fourth, we didn’t use EGFR wild type LUAD cell lines as control groups.

In conclusion, this study proved Vorinostat downregulated the expression of xCT in EGFR mutant LUAD cells and enhanced the effect of ferroptosis induction therapy. Therefore, the combination of Vorinostat (or another HDACi) and an established therapy that limits glutathione import or production shows a certain therapeutic prospect in treating EGFR-TKI resistant LUAD. Risk-benefit balance should be carefully considered before using this combination in patients due to the potential high toxicity of it.
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